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Kenya's economy has maintained a steady path over the years despite strong domestic demand and a number of external pressures such as the global financial crisis and the debt crisis. In terms of public debt management, the country's debt has and continues to be sustainable in the medium term. This is line with the Millennium Development Goal 8, target 8D on debt sustainability and the country's Vision 2030 under the Economic Pillar.

The Government's Medium Term Debt Strategy (MTDS) entails raising resources through borrowing to meet central government budgetary requirements at minimum cost whilst maintaining a prudent level of risk. It also involves promoting the development of domestic debt markets. The Government borrowing requirement and the level of public debt have been consistent with the overall fiscal framework supporting macro-economic stability for sustainable growth over the medium term.

This 2012 Annual Public Debt Report presents an overview of debt developments during the fiscal year. In nominal terms, debt levels have been increasing but as a percentage of GDP, reduced from 53 per cent to 49 per cent during the period under review. The Government policy however is to achieve a public debt level of 45 per cent of GDP in the medium term. The foregoing outcome in terms of debt management did not come without challenges. For instance, volatility in the money market and investor uncertainty constrained domestic borrowing during the fiscal year.

The 2010 Constitution that Kenya has started to implement overhauls the form of governance and introduces fiscal devolution. In this regard, the Public Finance Management (PFM) Law has ushered in a new era in public debt management that will entrench and promote prudent and sound debt management practices for both Central and County Governments with an aim to enhance efficiency and transparency. The PFM has repealed all earlier legislations on domestic and external government borrowing.

This 7th Annual Public Debt Report provides detailed information on the status, general developments and the medium term outlook of the country's public debt. Kenya's public debt consists of both domestic and external components. In gross terms, total debt increased to Ksh 1,622,801 million representing 49.5 per cent of GDP in June 2012 from Ksh 1,487,110 million which was 53.4 per cent of GDP in June 2011. The domestic component grew to Ksh 858,830 million which is equivalent to 26.2 per cent of GDP in June 2012 compared to Ksh 764,222 million or 27.4 per cent of GDP in June 2011. The external component also increased by Ksh 41,083 million in June 2012 to Ksh 763,971 million over the same period. As a percentage of GDP, external debt decreased from 25.9 per cent to 23.3 per cent.
As a percentage of revenue, total debt service declined to 15.5 per cent in June 2012 from 16.5 per cent in June 2011. In nominal terms under the domestic debt component, there was slight increase in debt service attributed to high interest rates in the domestic market particularly during the first half of the financial year.
During the period under review, domestic borrowing was constrained by the volatility in the money market interest rates and investor uncertainty occasioned by high inflation and weakening of the shilling. Consequently, there was under subscription of Treasury Bills and bonds in the auction markets for Government securities. Following this development, Government sought alternative sources of funding by way of a syndicated loan of up to Ksh 51.3 billion to substitute the shortfall in domestic borrowing.
In the medium term outlook, overall public debt is projected to rise in nominal terms to Ksh 1,882,900 million in June 2013 from Ksh 1,622,801 million in June 2012 and later increase to Ksh 2,414,600 million in June 2016. However, as a proportion of GDP, public debt in nominal terms is projected to decrease from 49.5 per cent in June 2012 to 43.9 per cent in June 2016. This is in line with Government strategy of reducing debt to GDP to below 45 per cent in the medium term.
The Ministry of Finance is the process of drawing up the regulations to reorganize the institutional structure of the Treasury including the setting up of the Public Debt Management Office (PDMO) as established by the Public Finance Management Law. The objective of establishing the PDMO is to ensure prudent and sound debt management in accordance with best practice.


## Joseph K. Kinyua, CBS

Permanent Secretary/Treasury

| ADB | African Development Bank | KBC | Kenya Broadcasting Corporation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADF | African Development Fund | KenGen | Kenya Electricity Generating Company |
| A-I-A | Appropriation in Aid | MEFMI | Macroeconomic and Financial Management Institute of Eastern and Southern Africa |
| CBK | Central Bank of Kenya |  |  |
| CCN | City Council of Nairobi | MLF | Market Leaders' Forum |
| CDS | Central Depository System | MODM | Monetary Operations and Debt Management Department |
| CMA | Capital Markets Authority |  |  |
| COMSEC | Commonwealth Secretariat | MOF | Ministry of Finance |
| CPIA | Country Policy and Institutional Assessment | MT | Medium Term |
|  |  | MTEF | Medium Term Expenditure Framework |
| CS-DRMS | Commonwealth Secretariat Debt Recording and Management System | NBFI | Non Bank Financial Institution |
|  |  | NPV | Net Present Value |
| CSD | Central Securities Depository | NSE | Nairobi Securities Exchange |
| DGIPE | Department of Government Investment and Public Enterprises | NSSF | National Social Security Fund |
|  |  | ODA | Official Development Assistance |
| DMD | Debt Management Department | OECD | Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development |
| PDMO | Public Debt Management Office |  |  |
| DSA | Debt Sustainability Analysis | OTC | Over the Counter |
| DSF | Debt Sustainability Framework | PA | Payment Advice |
| DX | Domestic Currency | PD | Primary Dealers |
| ECB | European Central Bank | PFMB | Public Financial Management Bill |
| EEC | European Economic Commission | PMG | Pay Master General |
| EIB | European Investment Bank | PPG | Public and Publicly Guaranteed |
| ERD | External Resources Department | PV | Present Value |
| EUROSTAT | European Union Statistical Agency | PwC | PricewaterhouseCoopers |
| FLSTAP | Financial and Legal Sector Technical Assistance Project | QEBR | Quarterly Economic and Budgetary Review |
| FX | Foreign Currency | SDB | Savings Development Bond |
| FXD | Fixed Discounted Treasury Bonds | SDR | Special Drawing Rights |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product | SFX | Restructuring Treasury Bonds |
| GoK | Government of Kenya | ST | Short Term |
| GSMM | Government Securities Market Makers | SWIFT | Society for Worldwide Interbank |
| HIPC | Highly Indebted Poor Countries |  |  |
| IBRD | International Bank for Reconstruction and Development | TDS | Total Debt Service |
|  |  | TARDA | Tana and Athi River Development Authority |
| IFB | Infrastructure Bond | TEDS | Total External Debt Service |
| IFC | International Finance Corporation | UK | United Kingdom |
| IMF | International Monetary Fund | USA | United States of America |
| JPY | Japanese Yen | USD | US Dollar |
| KACC | Kenya Anti-Corruption Commission | YTM | Yield to Maturity |

## Executive Summary

The principal objective of Kenya's public debt management is to meet the Central Government financing requirements at the least cost with a prudent degree of risk while the secondary objective is to facilitate Government's access to financial markets and support development of a wellfunctioning vibrant domestic debt market.

In this regard, the Government through the Ministry of Finance and the Central Bank is committed to pursuing prudent debt management strategies aimed at ensuring that public debt remains within sustainability thresholds. The strategy is outlined in the 2012 Medium Term Debt Strategy (MTDS) published in June 2012 which is subject to annual updates. The medium term strategy is to lower the level of public and publicly guaranteed debt to 45 percent of GDP and this entails adherence to prudent debt management and a reduction in the overall fiscal deficit to below 5 percent from the current level of over 6 percent.

Kenya's total public debt increased to Ksh 1,622,801 million or 49.5 per cent of GDP in June 2012 from Ksh 1,487,110 million or 53.4 per cent of GDP in June 2011. Domestic debt rose to Ksh 858,830 million or 26.2 per cent of GDP from Ksh 764,222 million or 27.4 per cent of GDP in the same period. Similarly, external debt rose to Ksh 763,971 million in June 2012 from Ksh 722,888 million in June 2011. As a percentage of GDP, external debt decreased from 25.9 per cent to 23.3 per cent over the period.

A large proportion of domestic debt is held by commercial banks although the holdings by non-bank investors such as pension funds and insurance companies continue to grow. A large share of outstanding Government bonds will mature in the short to medium term horizon as a result of issuance of short term non-benchmark bonds during the first half of the financial year. In terms of structure of securities by type, the ratio of Treasury Bills to bonds as at June 2012 was 16:84 which is an indication of successful restructuring of the domestic debt portfolio to minimize refinancing risk and promote development of the market. The amount of outstanding bonds across the remaining maturity profile was Ksh 686,951 million in June 2012 compared to Ksh 595,661 million in June 2011.

A review of Kenya's external debt portfolio shows that the debt was mainly owed to official multilateral and bilateral creditors. Whereas the over-
all external debt is long term and concessional in nature, there has been hardening of borrowing terms in the recent past.

Total Debt Service (TDS) on Kenya's Central Government debt as a percentage of revenue increased to 16.5 per cent from 16.2 per cent in June 2012 and June 2011 respectively. In nominal terms under the domestic debt component, there was slight increase in debt service that was attributed to the high interest rates in the domestic market particularly during the first half of the financial year.
The Public Financial Management (PFM) Act 2012 provides the legal framework for issuance of Government loan guarantees to public entities in which all debt service paid on their behalf shall become liabilities payable to the Government. The net cumulative balances on guaranteed debt paid by the Government on behalf of public enterprises over the last 21 years amounts to Ksh 22,109 million.

There have been continuous reforms at the Ministry of Finance to improve debt management in line with international best practice. The PFM Act 2012 establishes the Public Debt Management Office (PDMO) and has consolidated all pre-existing laws on Public Financial Management. In particular, it has repealed all Acts related to public debt management such as the External Loans and Credit Act, the Internal Loans Act and the National Government Loans Guarantee Act. At the Central Bank, a number of market development initiatives continue to be implemented. These include but are not limited to, execution of the benchmark bonds program, product diversification, establishment of the Treasury Mobile Direct (TMD) project, introduction of Over the Counter (OTC) trading platform and the setting up of the Government Securities Market Makers (GSMM) program as a first phase of the Primary Dealers (PD) framework.

The outlook in the medium term indicates that overall public debt is projected to rise in nominal terms to Ksh 1,882,900 million in June 2013 from Ksh 1,622,801 million in June 2012 and later increase to Ksh 2,414,600 million in June 2016. However, as a proportion of GDP, public debt in nominal terms is projected to increase to 49.9 per cent in June 2013 from 49.5 per cent in June 2012 and then decline to 43.9 per cent in June 2016. Overall debt service is projected to increase by 44 per cent but as a ratio to GDP, the debt burden indicators will be within sustainable levels.

## Introduction

The Fiscal Year 2011/12 Annual Public Debt Management Report presents the major developments in Kenya's public debt management activities during the financial year ended June 2012. The Report is organised under the following chapters:

1. Financing of Budget Deficit
2. Domestic Debt
3. External Debt
4. Publicly Guaranteed Debt
5. On-Lent Loans and Contingent Liabilities
6. Disputed External Commercial Debt
7. Debt Strategy and Debt Sustainability
8. Reforms in Public Debt Management
9. Outlook for the Medium Term

## 1. FINANCING OF BUDGET DEFICIT

### 1.1 Financing of the Deficit

The 2011/12 Central Government budget deficit (including grants) of Ksh 170.9 billion or 5.4 per cent of GDP was to be financed partly through net foreign financing of Ksh 51.4 billion ( 1.6 per cent of GDP). The remaining Ksh 119.5 billion was to be financed through net domestic borrowing ( 3.8 per cent of GDP) inclusive of Infrastructure Bonds amounting to Ksh 31.6 billion. No privatization proceeds were expected.

A review of the actual budget outturn resulted in a revised deficit of Ksh 227.3 billion. This deficit was 6.9 per cent of GDP and to be financed through net foreign financing of Ksh 165.2 billion ( 5.0 per cent of GDP) including an external commercial bank syndicated loan of USD 600 million equivalent to Ksh 51.3 billion (Ksh 85.5 per USD) and net domestic borrowing of Ksh 62.1 billion (1.9 per cent of GDP).

The actual budget performance as at end June 2012 resulted in an overall fiscal deficit of Ksh 161.9 billion which was financed through net domestic borrowing of Ksh 63.4 billion (1.9 per cent of GDP). The net foreign financing of Ksh 98.5 billion (3.0 per cent of GDP) included commercial financing of USD 600 million which was equivalent to Ksh 51.3 billion (Ksh 85.5 per USD).

### 1.2 Syndicated Loan to Finance the Budget

Since the implementation of the 2011/12 budget in July 2011, the economic environment worsened resulting to revenue short fall and constrained domestic borrowing resulting from volatility in the money market interest rates and investor uncertainty brought about by high inflation and weakening of the Shilling. Consequently, there was under subscription of Treasury Bills and Bonds in the auction market for government securities. Meanwhile, to continue implementing critical investment programmes in infrastructure, social sectors, as well as irrigation programmes and implementation of the new Constitution, the Government sought alternative sources of funding by way of a syndicated loan of up to Ksh. 51.3 billion (equivalent of about USD 600 million) to overcome the low uptake of Government securities by investors. In addition, the foreign currency inflows
associated with the proposed borrowing eased pressure on domestic interest rates, boosted international reserves and reduced pressure on the Kenya shilling. Taking into account this external loan, the revised domestic borrowing was revised downwards to Ksh 62.1 billion, down from Ksh 119.5 billion in the original budget.

### 1.3 Total Public Debt

Kenya's public and publicly guaranteed debt increased from Ksh 1,487,110 million or 53.4 per cent of GDP in June 2011 to Ksh 1,622,801 million or 49.5 percent of GDP in June 2012 as indicated in Table 1.1 and Chart 1.1. Domestic debt rose from Ksh 764,222 million or 27.4 per cent of GDP to Ksh 858,830 million or 26.2 percent of GDP over the period under review. Similarly, external debt rose from Ksh 722,888 million in 2011 to Ksh 763,971 million in 2012. As a percentage of GDP, external debt decreased from 25.9 per cent to 23.3 per cent over the period.

The share of domestic debt in total debt increased from 51.4 per cent to 52.9 per cent as shown in Table 1.1 while external debt decreased from 48.6 per cent to 47.1 per cent. The increase in domestic debt is attributed to increased demand for government securities in the domestic market. From the table, the external debt portfolio consists mainly of multilateral and bilateral creditors at 59.6 per cent and 31.9 per cent respectively.

For the past three years, total debt has been increasing both in nominal terms and as a percentage of GDP. In the year under review, although total debt increased in nominal terms, it declined as percentage of GDP by 4.0 per cent from 53.4 per cent in June 2011 to 49.5 per cent in June 2012. This indicates that the economy has grown faster than the increase in debt. In the medium term, the Government plans to lower the level of public and publicly guaranteed debt to 45 per cent of GDP.

Table 1.1: Public and Publicly Guaranteed Debt, Ksh Million

|  | June 2009 | June 2010 | June 2011 | June 2012 | Change 2011/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOMESTIC (Gross) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Bank | 40,061 | 50,215 | 39,691 | 47,383 | 7,692 |
| Commercial Banks | 250,717 | 351,579 | 384,640 | 411,867 | 27,227 |
| Sub-total | 290,778 | 401,794 | 424,331 | 459,250 | 34,919 |
| Non-banks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-bank Financial Institutions | 3,651 | 2,956 | 10,013 | 4,103 | $(5,910)$ |
| Other Nonbank Sources | 224,078 | 255,518 | 329,878 | 395,477 | 65,599 |
| Sub-total | 227,729 | 258,474 | 339,891 | 399,580 | 59,689 |
| Total Domestic | 518,507 | 660,268 | 764,222 | 858,830 | 94,608 |
| As a \% of GDP | 22.7 | 26.9 | 27.4 | 26.2 | (1.2) |
| As a \% of total debt | 48.9 | 53.7 | 51.4 | 52.9 | 1.5 |
| EXTERNAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Government |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilateral | 152,985 | 159,687 | 215,035 | 199,950 | $(15,085)$ |
| Multilateral | 327,633 | 348,647 | 436,838 | 451,287 | 14,449 |
| Commercial Banks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,540 | 50,540 |
| Suppliers Credits | 23,837 | 20,458 | 25,041 | 14,811 | $(10,230)$ |
| Sub-Total | 504,455 | 528,792 | 676,914 | 716,588 | 39,674 |
| Guaranteed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilateral | 32,948 | 36,660 | 41,930 | 43,593 | 1,663 |
| Multilateral | 3,472 | 3,686 | 4,044 | 3,790 | (254) |
| Sub-Total | 36,420 | 40,346 | 45,974 | 47,383 | 1,409 |
| Total External | 540,875 | 569,138 | 722,888 | 763,971 | 41,083 |
| As a \% of GDP | 23.7 | 23.2 | 25.9 | 23.3 | (2.6) |
| (As a \% of total debt) | 51.1 | 46.3 | 48.6 | 47.1 | (1.5) |
| GRAND TOTAL | 1,059,382 | 1,229,406 | 1,487,110 | 1,622,801 | 135,691 |
| As a \% of GDP | 46.4 | 50.0 | 53.4 | 49.5 | (3.9) |
| Memorandum item |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDP | 2,283,000 | 2,458,400 | 2,787,300 | 3,281,200 |  |

[^0]Chart 1.1: Trend in Public and Publicly Guaranteed Debt Stock, Ksh Million


Source: Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

### 1.4 Debt Service

Total Debt Service (TDS) on Kenya's Central Government debt increased by 15.3 per cent from Ksh 98,552 million in 2010/11 to Ksh 113,644 million in 2011/12 as shown in Table 1.2 and Chart 1.2. Debt service on both domestic and external debt increased by Ksh 11,842 million and Ksh 3,250 million respectively. The slight rise in debt service for domestic debt was attributed to the high interest rates in the domestic market particularly during the first half of the financial year under review.

As a proportion of total debt service, the external component declined from 28.5 per cent to 27.5 per cent while the domestic portion increased from 71.5 per cent to 72.5 percent in 2010/11 and in 2011/12 respectively. This indicates that the strucSlight rise in debt service for domestic debt was attributed to the high interest rates in the domestic market particularly during the first half of the financial year under review ture of Central Government debt service remained relatively unchanged with a large share falling under the domestic component.

Table 1.2: Debt Service on Kenya's Central Government Debt, Ksh Million

| Item Description | June 2009 | June 2010 | June 2011 | June 2012 | Change 2011/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| External Principal | 16,013 | 17,373 | 21,020 | 23,954 | 2,934 |
| External Interest | 5,632 | 6,238 | 7,035 | 7,351 | 316 |
| TEDS | 21,645 | 23,611 | 28,055 | 31,305 | 3,250 |
| TEDS as a \% of TDS | 32.0 | 29.2 | 28.5 | 27.5 | (1.0) |
| Domestic Interest | 45,949 | 57,381 | 70,497 | 82,339 | 11,842 |
| Dom Interest as a \% of TDS | 68.0 | 70.8 | 71.5 | 72.5 | 1.0 |
| TDS | 67,594 | 80,992 | 98,552 | 113,644 | 15,092 |
| Ordinary Revenue | 456,000 | 507,500 | 609,200 | 690,700 | 81,500 |
| Export Earnings (goods only) | 358,136 | 400,024 | 511,577 | 499,737 | $(11,840)$ |
| TDS as a \% of Revenue | 14.8 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 0.3 |
| TEDS as a \% of Exports | 6.7 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 0.8 |

Source: Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

## Chart 1.2: Kenya's Central Government Debt Service, Ksh Million



[^1]Total External Debt Service (TEDS) as a percentage of export earnings increased by 0.8 per cent from 5.5 per cent to 6.3 per cent over the same period. During the period under review, the overall debt service as a per cent of revenue increased by 0.3 per cent.

### 1.5 Cost/Risk Characteristics of Public Debt

Arising from the Government external debt strategy of contracting external loans on highly concessional terms to minimise interest rate cost, the average interest rate and grace period on new external loans contracted in financial year 2011/12 was o.8 per cent and 6.2 years, respectively. This profile, together with the long maturity of 26.3 years yields an average grant element of 65.8 per cent for new external loans.

On the other hand, the average maturity profile of outstanding Government domestic debt has decreased from 5.8 years in June 2011 to 5.3 years in June 2012.

## 2. DOMESTIC DEBT

### 2.1 Total Domestic Debt

Government domestic debt consists of stock of Government securities and Government Overdraft at Central Bank of Kenya. Government securities comprise of Treasury Bills, Treasury Bonds, Infrastructure bonds and the Pre-1997 Government Debt. During the Financial Year 2011/12, the Government raised Ksh 79,186.48 million as net proceeds through borrowing from the domestic market by sale of Treasury Bills, Treasury Bonds and Infrastructure Bonds.

As shown in Table 2.1, on a net basis, the outstanding stock of domestic debt rose by Ksh 94, 608 million ( 12.4 percent growth) to stand at Ksh 858,830 million in June 2012 compared to Ksh 764, 222 million in June 2011. This increase was mainly attributed to an increase of Ksh 91,290 million and Ksh 5,442 million in the stock of Treasury Bonds and Treasury Bills respectively. The level of domestic debt was partly offset by a repayment of Ksh 1,664 million of the Pre-1997 Government Debt.

Table 2.1: Domestic Debt Stock, Ksh Million

| Instrument | June 2011 |  | June 2012 |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% | Amount | \% |  |
| Total Stock of Domestic Debt (A+B) | 764,222 | 100 | 858,830 | 100 | 94,608 |
| A. Government Securities(1-3) | 753,929 | 98.7 | 848,997 | 98.9 | 95,068 |
| 1.Treasury Bills | 126,605 | 16.6 | 132,047 | 15.4 | 5,422 |
| Banking Institutions | 87,736 | 11.5 | 75,497 | 8.8 | $(12,239)$ |
| Others | 38,869 | 5.1 | 56,550 | 6.6 | 17,681 |
| 2.Treasury Bonds | 595,661 | 77.9 | 686,951 | 80.0 | 91,290 |
| Banking Institutions | 294,206 | 38.5 | 337,412 | 39.3 | 43,206 |
| Others | 301,455 | 39.4 | 349,539 | 40.7 | 48,084 |
| 3. Pre-1997 Government Debt | 31,663 | 4.1 | 29,999 | 3.5 | $(1,664)$ |
| B. Others ${ }^{1}$ | 10,293 | 1.3 | 9,833 | 1.1 | (460) |
| Of which CBK Overdraft | 7,571 | 1 | 7,257 | 0.8 | (314) |

Source: Central Bank of Kenya

[^2]
### 2.2 Domestic Debt by Instrument

The stock of outstanding Treasury Bonds increased by 15.3 percent from Ksh 595,661 million in June 2011 to Ksh 686,951 million in June 2012 while Treasury Bills increased by 4.3 percent from Ksh 126,605 million to Ksh 132,047 million over the same period as shown in Table 2.1 and Charts 2.1. (a) and (b). Consequently, the proportion of Treasury Bonds in total domestic debt increased from 77.9 percent to 80.0 percent while Treasury Bills declined from 16.6 percent to 15.4 percent during the period as shown in Charts 2.1(a) and 2.1(b).

As at end June 2012, the ratio of Treasury Bills to bonds stood at 16:84 which was in accordance with the domestic debt borrowing strategy, where the Government sought to achieve and maintain the ratio of Treasury Bills and Treasury Bonds at 30:70. The proportion of Pre-1997 CBK advances to Government

The proportion of Treasury Bonds in total domestic debt increased from $77.9 \%$ to $80.0 \%$ while Treasury Bills declined from $16.6 \%$ to $15.4 \%$ during the period dropped from 4.1 percent to 3.5 percent due to a net repayment of Ksh 1,664 million made during the fiscal year 2011/12. The level of Government Overdraft reduced by Ksh 314 million from Ksh 7,571 million by end June 2011 to Ksh 7,257 million as at end June 2012.

Chart 2.1: Domestic Debt by Instrument
(a) June 2011

(b) June 2012


Source: Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.3 Domestic Debt by Holder

Although the holding of domestic debt by commercial banks declined to 48.0 percent down from 50.3 percent in June 2011 as shown in Table 2.2., they still remained the largest holders among all investor categories. However, the share of domestic debt held by non-bank investors increased from 43.2 percent in June 2011 to 45.2 percent in June 2012.

## Table 2.2: Domestic Debt by Holder, Ksh Million

| Holder | June 2011 |  | June 2012 |  | Change |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |  |
| Banks | 424,331 | 55.5 | 459,294 | 53.5 | 34,963 |
| o/w* Central Bank | 39,691 | 5.2 | 47,382 | 5.5 | 7,691 |
| Commercial Banks | 384,640 | 50.3 | 411,867 | 48.0 | 27,227 |
| Non-Banks | 339,891 | 44.5 | 399,580 | 46.5 | 39,689 |
| o/w* Non-Bank Financial <br> Institutions | 10,013 | 1.3 | 11,039 | 1.3 | 1,026 |
| Other Non-Bank Sources | 329,878 | 43.2 | 388,541 | 45.2 | 58,663 |
| Total | 764,222 | 100 | 858,830 | 100 | 94,608 |

*Of which
Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.4 Treasury Bills and Bonds by Tenor

Of the total outstanding Government securities held as at end June 2012, Treasury Bills accounted for 16.1 percent, down from 17.5 percent as at end June 2011 as shown in Table 2.3 below. During the financial year, the proportion of the outstanding 91 day and 364 day Treasury Bills stock dropped by 5.5 per cent and 0.4 per cent while the stock of 182-day Treasury Bills rose by 6.5 per cent respectively. This indicates enhanced investor preference for the 182-day Treasury Bills during the period under review.

Treasury Bonds accounted for 83.9 per cent of the outstanding Government securities as at end June 2012. Out of these, Treasury Bonds with original maturity range of 1 year to 5 years amounted to Ksh 272,439 million ( 33.3 percent) while those with a maturity range of between 6 and 9 years stood at Ksh 124,072 million (15.1 percent). Treasury Bonds with original maturity profile of 10 years and above amounted to Ksh 290,441 million ( 35.5 percent).

Chart 2.2: Stock of Treasury Bills and bonds across the Yield Curve as at 30 June 2012


Source: Central Bank of Kenya
The maturity distribution in Chart 2.2 above and Table 2.3 indicates a large concentration of Government securities stock at the short to medium segment of the yield curve. There was a shift in the proportion of longer dated Bonds as the portfolio decreased to 35.5 percent from 37.7 percent while the portfolio of the short dated bonds increased from 26.0 percent to 33.3 percent in June 2012 from June 2011.

Table 2.3: Outstanding Treasury Bills and Bonds by Tenor, Ksh Million

| Security Type | June 2011 |  | June 2012 |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | (\%) of total | Amount | (\%) of total | Amount | (\%) of total |
| Treasury Bills (Days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | 69,135 | 9.6 | 24,323 | 3.0 | $(44,812)$ | (5.5) |
| 182 | 22,528 | 3.1 | 75,669 | 9.2 | 53,141 | 6.5 |
| 364 | 34,942 | 4.8 | 32,056 | 3.9 | $(2,886)$ | (0.4) |
| Sub totals | 126,605 | 17.5 | 132,048 | 16.1 | 5,443 | 0.7 |
| Treasury Bonds (Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 51,522 | 6.3 | 51,522 | 6.3 |
| 2 | 73,012 | 10.1 | 86,462 | 10.6 | 13,450 | 1.6 |
| 3 | o | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| 4 | o | 0.0 | 19,121 | 2.3 | 19,121 | 2.3 |
| 5 | 114,551 | 15.9 | 115,333 | 14.1 | 782 | 0.1 |
| Sub totals | 187,563 | 26.0 | 272,439 | $33 \cdot 3$ | 145,834 | 17.8 |
| 6 | 60,661 | 8.4 | 47,241 | 5.8 | $(13,420)$ | (1.6) |
| 7 | 24,296 | 3.4 | 22,523 | 2.8 | $(1,773)$ | (0.2) |
| 8 | 22,813 | 3.2 | 28,944 | $3 \cdot 5$ | 6,131 | 0.7 |
| 9 | 27,732 | 3.8 | 25,364 | 3.1 | $(2,368)$ | (0.3) |
| Sub totals | 135,502 | 18.8 | 124,072 | 15.1 | $(11,430)$ | (1.4) |
| 10 | 91,391 | 12.7 | 95,725 | 11.7 | 4,334 | 0.5 |
| 11 | 4,031 | 0.6 | 4,031 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 33,051 | 4.6 | 43,186 | 5.3 | 10,135 | 1.2 |
| 15 | 75,443 | 10.4 | 75,443 | 9.2 | - | 0.0 |
| 20 | 29,727 | 4.1 | 29,727 | 3.6 | o | 0.0 |
| 25 | 20,193 | 2.8 | 20,193 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 18,760 | 2.6 | 22,136 | 2.7 | 3,376 | 0.4 |
| Sub total | 272,596 | 37.7 | 290,441 | $35 \cdot 5$ | 17,845 | 2.2 |
| Grand Total | 722,266 |  | 818,998 |  | 96,732 |  |
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## Chart 2.3 Outstanding Government Securities

(a) June 2011

(b) June 2012


Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.4.1 Benchmark Bond Program

A benchmark program involves issuance of large size bonds and management of maturity structure through strategies such as reopening, tap sales, conversions, buy-backs, bond exchange and swaps.

In Kenya, the benchmark bond program was initiated by Government in September 2007 to address illiquidity in the domestic bond market, lengthen maturity of domestic debtin ordertominimize

The benchmark tenors
identified are $2,5,10,15,20$,
and 25 year maturities. rollover risk and strengthen the Government securities market by promoting secondary trading. The benchmark tenors identified are $2,5,10,15,20$, and 25 year maturities.

As a result of the successful implementation of this program, the average maturity profile of outstanding Government securities has increased from 0.5 years in June 2001 to 5.3 years in June 2012 and the ratio of Treasury Bonds to Treasury Bills improved to 84:16. Since 2009, 34 benchmark bonds of different maturities worth Ksh $363,684.9$ million have been issued of which 16 benchmark bonds worth Ksh 146,316.3 million have been reopened.

During the period under review, 13 bonds worth Ksh 100,172.0 million were issued of which 5 worth Ksh 12,777.2 were reopened. To smoothen out the maturity profile of bonds, manage refinancing risk and minimize the fragmentation problem at the secondary market, the Government and
the Central Bank are considering strategies such as bond exchanges and switches under the program.

In addition, there has been improved liquidity both at the primary and the secondary markets for bonds. As shown in Table 2.3 and Charts 2.3(a) and 2.3(b), Benchmark Bonds account for the largest share of outstanding Treasury Bonds with over 50 percent as at end June 2012. Turnover of bonds traded at the Nairobi Securities Exchange (NSE) was Ksh 390, 271 million in 2011/2012 compared to Ksh 421,769 million in 2010/11. However, riding on the success of the Benchmark Bonds program, a total of twenty (20) corporate bonds have been listed and are trading at the NSE.

### 2.5 Infrastructure Bonds (IFBs) Program

The issuance of Infrastructure Bonds in Kenya begun in February 2009 to mobilise resources for specific projects in roads, energy and water sectors to support economic development.

The primary objective of the Government in issuing the Infrastructure Bonds was to act an example to State Owned Enterprises (SOEs) and Local Authorities (LAs) with strong balance sheets on how to tap into the capital markets to finance their infrastructure and deliver on their mandate effectively.

To date, a total of Ksh 120.79 billion on face value terms has been raised through the sale of five (5) IFBs as reflected in Table 2.4. In 2011/2012, one IFB worth Ksh 37.19 billion was issued through an original auction in October 2012 and four subsequent monthly tap auctions up to February 2012.

## Table 2.4: Performance of Infrastructure Bond (IFB) at Primary Market Auction

| Bond Issue <br> Number | Date of Issue | Offer Amount <br> (Ksh Mn) | Bids Received <br> FV (Ksh Mn) | Successful <br> Bids (Ksh Mn) | Coupon <br> Rate (\%) | Successful <br> Average Rate (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IFB 1/2009/12 | $23-02-09$ | 18,500 | 26,884 | 18,576 | 12.500 | 13.505 |
| IFB 2/2009/12 | $07-12-09$ | 18,500 | 44,121 | 18,400 | 12.000 | 12.537 |
| IFB 1/2010/8 | $01-03-10$ | 14,500 | 35,273 | 16,042 | 9.750 | 9.579 |
| IFB 2/2010/9 | $30-08-10$ | 31,600 | 37,362 | 30,585 | 6.000 | 7.293 |
| IFB1/2011/12 | $3-10-11$ | 20,000 | 13,297 | 11,597 | 12.000 | 16.640 |
| IFB1/2011/12 | $7-11-11$ | 8,403 | 274 | 274 | 12.000 | 16.640 |
| IFB1/2011/12 | $05-12-11$ | 7,920 | 209 | 209 | 12.000 | 16.640 |
| IFB1/2012/12 | $02-01-12$ | 1,390 | 1,390 | 12.000 | 16.640 |  |
| IFB1/2012/12 | $06-02-12$ | 18,370 | 18,661 | 5,060 | 12.000 | 16.640 |
| IFB1/2011/12 | $27-02-12$ |  | 18,661 | 12.000 | 16.640 |  |

Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.6 Treasury Bills by Holder

The stock of Treasury Bills increased by 4.3 percent from Ksh 126,605 million in June 2011 to Ksh 132,047 million in June 2012 as shown in Table 2.5 and Chart 2.4. The proportion held by Commercial Banks decreased from Ksh 87,736 million ( 69.3 percent) in June 2011 to Ksh 75,497 million ( 57.2 percent) in June 2012. Insurance companies, and pension funds scaled up their holdings to 5.5 percent and 21.7 percent respectively during the period under review.

## Table 2.5: Outstanding Treasury Bills, Ksh Million

| Holder |  | June 2011 |  | June 2012 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount |  | $\%$ | Amount |  |
| Commercial Banks | 87,736 | 69.3 | 75,497 | 57.2 |  |
| NBFIs | 546 | 0.4 | 6,297 | 4.8 |  |
| Insurance companies | 4,931 | 3.9 | 7,220 | 5.5 |  |
| Pensions Funds (including NSSF) | 25,077 | 19.8 | 28,686 | 21.7 |  |
| Others | 8,315 | 6.6 | 14,347 | 10.9 |  |
| Total $^{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 6 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 , 0 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.7 Outstanding Treasury Bonds

The outstanding bonds across the various remaining maturities amounted to Ksh 686,951 million at the end of June 2012 compared to Ksh 595,661 million as at end June 2011 (see Appendix 2). As shown in Chart 2.4, the bulk of outstanding government bonds will be retired in the next 5 years.

## Chart 2.4 Outstanding Government Bonds
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### 2.8 Treasury Bonds by Holder

As shown in Table 2.6 and Chart 2.5, outstanding Treasury Bonds increased from Ksh 595,661 million in June 2011 to Ksh 686,951 million in June 2012. Although the holdings by commercial banks decreased slightly during the period under review, they continue to dominate as the highest holders of Treasury Bonds. Absolute holdings by Pension funds increased but as a proportion of total outstanding bonds, decreased to 27.5 percent in June 2012 from 31.5 percent in June 2011.

## Table 2.6: Outstanding Treasury Bonds, Ksh Million

| Holder | June 2011 |  | June 2012 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Amount |  | \% | Amount |
| Commercial Banks | 294,206 | 49.4 | 334,114 | 48.6 |
| NBFIs | 9,467 | 1.6 | 5,434 | 0.8 |
| Insurance Companies | 73,349 | 12.3 | 85,137 | 12.4 |
| Pensions Funds (including <br> NSSF) | 187,392 | 31.5 | 189,011 | 27.5 |
| Others | 31,147 | 5.2 | 73,255 | 10.7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 9 5 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 6}, 951$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source: Central Bank of Kenya
Chart 2.5 Treasury Bills and Bonds by holders
(a) June 2011

(b) June 2012


Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.9 Average Interest Rates on Treasury Bills

During the first half of the financial year, average interest rates for Treasury Bills sustained an upward trend with the 91-day Treasury bill at an average of 20.8 percent at mid-January but begun to decline steadily from
end-January to June 2012. As shown in Chart 2.6, the 91-day Treasury bill rate rose by 114 basis points from 8.95 percent in June 2011 to 10.09 percent in June 2012. Likewise, average interest rate for the 182-day Treasury bill rose by 74 basis points from 9.93 percent to 10.67 percent during the period while the average for the 364-day Treasury bill increased by 218 basis points from 10.25 percent in June 2011 to 12.43 percent in June 2012.

The 91-day and 182-day Treasury Bills average rates act as reference interest rates for pricing other financial products, making adjustments to commercial banks' deposit and lending rates as well as structuring of investment portfolios.

## Chart 2.6: Interest Rates on Treasury Bills, July 2011 - June 2012



Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.10 Government Securities Yield Curve

As shown in Chart 2.7, the Government securities trading yield curve depicts more or less a parallel and steady downward shift during the financial year indicating stability of pricing along the maturity profile.

This movement is also in line with trends in inflation during the year which reflected on the confidence and certainty of the market. The yield curve reflects the risk premium associated with the uncertainty about the future rate of inflation and the risk this poses to the future value of cash flows.

Chart 2.7: Government of Kenya Securities Yield Curve, June 2012


Source: Central Bank of Kenya

### 2.11 Domestic Interest Payments

Compared to 2010/11, Government expenditure on interest and other charges on domestic debt amounted to Ksh 82,339 million in 2011/12 which is a 16.8 percent increase as shown in Table 2.7. There was a significant increase in interest payments on Treasury Bills compared to Treasury Bonds. Domestic interest as a proportion of revenue increased over the period largely due to high interest rates in the domestic market but remained the same as a proportion of expenditure and GDP.

## Table 2.7: Domestic Interest Payments and Ratios

| Type of Debt |  | Interest (Ksh Million) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ |  |
| Treasury Bills | 7,369 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 5 5}$ | 50.0 |
| Treasury Bonds | 58,162 | 64,620 | 11.1 |
| CBK Commission | 3,000 | 3,000 | 0.0 |
| Pre - 1997 Debt | 1,171 | 0.00 | -100.0 |
| Others | 795 | 3,664 | 360.9 |
| Total | 70,497 | 82,339 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8}$ |
| Ratios (Per cent) |  |  |  |
| Domestic Interest/Revenue | 11.6 | 11.9 | 2.6 |
| Domestic Interest/Expenditure | 8.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| Domestic Interest/GDP | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Domestic Interest/Total Interest | 90.9 | 91.8 | 1.0 |
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## 3. EXTERNAL DEBT

### 3.1 Total External Debt

Total public and publicly guaranteed external debt increased from Ksh 722,888 million in June 2011 to Ksh 763,971 million in June 2012 as shown in Table 3.1. On net basis, the external debt stock increased by Ksh 41,083 million majorly due to disbursements of a syndicated loan amounting to Ksh 50,540 million during the financial year.

## Table 3.1: External Debt Stock (Ksh Mn)

| Classification | June 2011 | June 2012 | Per cent change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Bilateral | 215,035 | 199,950 | $(7.02)$ |
| Multilateral | 436,838 | 451,287 | 3.31 |
| Commercial <br> Banks | 0 | 50,540 | 100 |
| Supplier Credits | 25,041 | 14,811 | $(40.85)$ |
| Guaranteed | 45,974 | 47,383 | 3.06 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 2 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3 , 9 7 1}$ | 5.68 |

Source: Ministry of Finance

### 3.2 The Structure of External Debt

### 3.2.1 External Debt by Creditor Category

At the beginning of the financial year 2011/12, Kenya's external debt portfolio shows that the debt was mainly owed to multilateral (61.0 percent), bilateral ( 35.5 percent), commercial creditors ( 6.6 percent) and a small proportion owed to suppliers credit (3.5 percent) as shown in Chart 3.1(a). This structure remained unchanged over the period under review as demonstrated in Chart 3.1(b). Multilateral debt decreased by 1.4 percent to 59.6 percent in June 2012, whereas bilateral debt decreased slightly from 35.5 percent to 31.9 percent. The increase in the share of debt owed to commercial creditors is attributed to the contraction of a syndicated loan of up to Ksh. 51.3 billion (equivalent of about USD 600 million).

## Chart 3.1: External Debt stock by Broad Creditors

(a) June 2011


Source: Treasury
(b) June 2012


### 3.2.2 External Debt by Major Creditors

The World Bank (IDA) is the leading creditor in the external debt portfolio at 38.0 percent of total external debt, followed by Japan at 14.1 per cent and thereafter African Development Bank Group at 9.3 percent as shown in Chart 3.2. Among other major creditors are China, France, Germany, and the European Union/European Investment Bank.

Chart 3.2: External Debt by Major Creditors, June 2012


Source:Treasury

### 3.2.3 Currency Structure of External Debt

A diversified currency structure is important for hedging against exchange rate risks on a country's external debt. Kenya's external debt portfolio is mainly denominated in Euro, US Dollar and Yen as shown in Chart 3.3. However, the currency mix is not an outcome of a deliberate debt management strategy but more a reflection of source of funding.

Chart 3.3: External Debt by Currency, June 2012


Source: Treasury

### 3.2.4 Maturity Structure

As at the end of June 2012, the proportion of external debt with remaining maturities of more than 10 years was 77.6 percent indicating that the country's external debt is long term. The fact that only 6.6 percent of the debt portfolio will mature within 4 years is a benefit to the economy in terms of time value of money.

## Chart 3.4: External Debt by Remaining Maturity, June 2012



Source:Treasury

### 3.2.5 Average Terms of New External Loan Commitments

As shown in Table 3.2, the average grant element of new external loan commitments was 65.8 per cent in 2011/12 compared to 69.8 per cent in

2010/11 indicating hardening of borrowing terms. The average interest rate, grace period and average maturity on new external loan commitments as at the end of June 2012 was 0.8 percent, 6.2 years and 26.3 years respectively. Overall, these borrowing terms are favorable to the country and are in line with the Government's external debt strategy of contracting external loans from both multilateral and bilateral sources on concessional or "soft" terms.

Table 3.2: Average Terms of Loan Commitments

|  | June 2011 | June 2012 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Average Maturity (yrs) | 26.6 | 26.3 |
| Grace Period (yrs) | 8.1 | 6.2 |
| Average Interest Rate (\%) | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Grant Element (\%) | 69.8 | 65.8 |

Source:Treasury

### 3.3 External Debt Service

As shown in Table 3.3, total principal and interest payments on Central Government debt increased from Ksh 28,055 million in 2010/11 to Ksh 31,305 million in 2011/12. The ratio of multilateral and bilateral external debt service was 52:48.

## Table 3.3: External Debt Service on Central Government Debt by Creditor, Ksh Million

| Payments | Multilateral |  | Bilateral |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 2011 | June 2012 | June 2011 | June 2012 | June 2011 | June 2012 |
| Principal | 10,062 | 12,560 | 10,958 | 11,394 | 21,020 | 23,954 |
| Interest | 3,189 | 3,781 | 3,846 | 3,570 | 7,035 | 7,351 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 9 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 5 5}$ | 31,305 |

Source:Treasury

### 3.4 Disbursements of External Loans

As at end June 2012, total disbursements on external project loans and budget support increased to Ksh 70,736 million from Ksh 59,678 million in June 2011 as shown in Table 3.4. Specifically, the actual amount disbursed
under project loans decreased to 27.3 per cent while that under Appropri-ation-in-Aid (A-I-A) increased to 72.7 per cent.

## Table 3.4: External Loans Disbursements, Ksh Million

| Type of disbursement | June 2011 |  | June 2012 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| Project Cash Loans | 28,390 | 47.6 | 19,343 | 27.3 |
| Project A-I-A | 31,288 | 52.4 | 51,393 | 72.7 |
| Total | 59,678 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 , 7 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

Source:Treasury

## 4. PUBLICLY GUARANTEED DEBT

Publicly guaranteed debt refers to the debt owed by the country's public entities to both foreign and local creditors but guaranteed by Central Government. The debts may be denominated in domestic or foreign currency.

### 4.1 Stock of Publicly Guaranteed Debt

Table 4.1 shows that outstanding Government guaranteed debt increased by Ksh 1,409.5 million to Ksh 47,383.4 million in June 2012 from Ksh 45,973.9 million in June 2011. The increase was mainly attributed to new disbursements for KenGen under the Sondu Miriu Hydropower Project and Kenya Ports Authority under the Mombasa Port Modernization Project.

## Table 4.1: Publicly Guaranteed Debts, Ksh Million

| Agency | Year Loan Contracted | Purpose of Loan | Creditor | Loan Balance |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June 2011 | June 2012 |  |
| City Council of Nairobi | 1985 | Umoja II Housing Project | USA | 305.5 | 214.8 | (90.7) |
| Kenya Broadcasting Corporation | 1989 | KBC Modernization Project | Japan | 6,722.2 | 5,996.6 | (725.6) |
| Telkom Kenya Ltd | 1990 | Purchase of Microwave Telephone System | Canada | 404.4 | 362.2 | (42.2) |
| Tana and Athi River Development Authority | 1990 | Tana Delta Irrigation Project | Japan | 2,950.5 | 2,495.5 | (455.0) |
| East African <br> Portland Cement | 1990 | Cement Plant Rehabilitation Project | Japan | 3,667.7 | 3,102.2 | (565.5) |
| KenGen Ltd | 1995 | Mombasa Diesel Generating Power Project | Japan | 6,642.3 | 5,868.9 | (773.4) |
|  | 1997 | Sondu Miriu Hydropower Project | Japan | 6,224.8 | 5,564.1 | (660.7) |
|  | 2004 | Sondu Miriu Hydropower Project II | Japan | 11,773.2 | 11,202.6 | (570.6) |
|  | 2007 | Sondu Miriu Hydropower Project - Sang'oro Power Plant | Japan | 3,238.2 | 4,184.9 | 946.7 |
|  | 2010 | Olkaria Unit 4 and 5 Geothermal Power Project | Japan | 0.0 | 51.2 | 51.2 |
| Kenya Ports Authority | 2007 | Mombasa Port <br> Modernization Project | Japan | 1.2 | 4,549.9 | 4,548.7 |
| Kenya Railways | 2008 | Kenya Railways Concessioning | IDA | 4,043.9 | 3,790.5 | (253.4) |
| Kenya Farmers Association | 2005 | Revival of KFA | Local banks | Unutilized | Unutilized |  |
| National Cereals \& Produce Board (GSM-102) ${ }^{3}$ | 2009 | Importation of maize under GSM-102 | USA | Unutilized | Unutilized |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 45,973.9 | 47,383.4 | 1,409.5 |

Source: Treasury

### 4.2 Payments by the Government on Publicly Guaranteed Debt

During the period under review, Ksh 961.3 million was spent by the Government as a guarantor to service guaranteed debts owed by City Council of Nairobi, Tana and Athi River Development Authority and Kenya Broadcasting Corporation which were experiencing financial distress as shown in Table 4.2.

## Table 4.2: Payments by the Government on Guaranteed Debt in 2011/12, Ksh Million

| Borrower | Quarter I | Quarter II | Quarter III | Quarter IV | Cumulative |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CCN | 42.5 | - | - | - | 42.5 |
| TARDA | 229.4 | - | - | 185.3 | 414.6 |
| KBC | - | - | 504.2 | - | 504.2 |
| Total | 271.9 | 0.0 | 504.2 | 185.3 | 961.3 |

Source:Treasury

### 4.3 Cumulative GoK Payments of Guaranteed Debt

The net cumulative balances on guaranteed debt paid by the Government on behalf of public enterprises over the last twenty one years was Ksh 22,109 million as shown in Table 4.3 and Chart 4.1.The top net debtors are Kenya Broadcasting Corporation, Nzoia Sugar Company, City Council of Nairobi and Tana and Athi River Development Authority, all four accounting for over go percent of un-reimbursed balances.

## Table 4.3: Cumulative Balances Owed to Central Government on Guaranteed Debt, Ksh Million, 1991-2011/12

| Parastatals | Principal | Interest | TotalReimburse- <br> ment to <br> GoK by <br> Parastatals | Balance |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kenya Broadcasting Corporation | $5,002.5$ | $2,985.7$ | $7,988.2$ | 44.0 | $7,944.2$ |
| 2 | Nzoia Sugar Company | $4,605.8$ | $1,523.5$ | $6,129.3$ | 1.5 | $6,127.8$ |
| 3 | City Council of Nairobi | $1,910.3$ | $2,158.5$ | $4,068.8$ | 124.7 | $3,944.1$ |
| 4 | Tana and Athi River Development Authority | $2,207.8$ | $1,094.7$ | $3,302.5$ | 0.3 | $3,302.2$ |
| 5 | Industrial and Commercial De- <br> velopment Corporation | 484.9 | 181.4 | 666.3 | 0.0 | 666.3 |
| 6 | Kenya Railways | $1,151.0$ | 203.9 | $1,354.9$ | 715.1 | 639.8 |
| 7 | East Africa Sugar Industries Muhoroni | 226.7 | 75.8 | 302.5 | 0.0 | 302.5 |
| 8 | Development Finance Company of Kenya | 92.4 | 39.9 | 132.3 | 45.0 | 87.3 |
| 9 | KenGen | $1,487.4$ | $1,156.5$ | $2,643.9$ | $2,609.7$ | 34.2 |
| 10 | Kenya Fiber Corporation | 0.0 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 14.7 |
| 11 | Tana and Athi River Development Company | $1,001.3$ | $1,154.3$ | $2,155.6$ | $2,148.4$ | 7.2 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 8 , 1 7 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 8 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 7 5 9 . 1}$ | $5,688.7$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 0 7 0 . 4}$ |
| Source:Treasury |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chart 4.1: Unpaid Balances owed to GoK by State Corporations



## 5. ON-LENT LOANS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

### 5.1 Background

The arrangement where a Central Government through the Ministry of Finance contracts loans from external or domestic sources or uses tax revenue and lends it to public enterprises is referred to as Government onlending. The basis for this lending arrangement is as follows:

- The object of a public enterprise is strategic and hence requires funding by Government;
- In the case of a social welfare project that would be efficiently executed by a public enterprise on behalf of the Government;
- The public enterprise has a weak balance sheet and cannot attract competitive funding from external or domestic sources.

In recording on-lending transactions, the Central Government recognizes a liability and a corresponding asset in its portfolio depending on the source of borrowing.

Substantial default by the borrowers of on-lent loans severely constrains efficient debt management, ultimately impacting on debt sustainability. Such default calls for establishment of better institutional processes and enhancement of transparency in on-lending operations as well as monitoring of contingent liabilities to promote efficient management of costs and risks in government debt portfolio.

### 5.2 Stock of On-Lent Loans

During the period under review, the outstanding on-lent loans excluding arrears and interest increased by Ksh 41,310.9 million from Ksh 46,666.0 million to Ksh 87,976.9 million. This increase is attributed to new loans onlent to the Education, Water, Lands \& Settlement and Tourism and Information sectors.

## Table 5.1: Stock of On-Lent Loans (Ksh Million)

| Ministry/Sector | Outstanding loans |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 2011 | June 2012 | Absolute | Per cent |
| Education | 564.3 | 11,107.6 | 10,543.3 | 1,868.4 |
|  <br> Natural Resources | 634.8 | 2,486.6 | 1,851.8 | 291.7 |
| Finance | 554.9 | 1,370.3 | 815.4 | 146.9 |
| Water \& Irrigation | 9,121.2 | 22,326.7 | 13,205.5 | 144.8 |
| Lands \& Settlement | - | 87.7 | 87.7 | 100.0 |
| Tourism \& Information | - | 188.0 | 188.0 | 100.0 |
| Energy | 15,112.5 | 29,630.0 | 14,517.5 | 96.1 |
| Transport | 5,636.4 | 6,705.2 | 1,068.8 | 19.0 |
| Local Government | 7,729.4 | 7,634.9 | (94.5) | (1.2) |
| Agriculture | 6,076.6 | 5,675.2 | (401.4) | (6.6) |
| Trade | 1,235.9 | 764.7 | (471.2) | (38.1) |
| Total | 46,666.0 | 87,976.9 | 41,310.9 |  |

Source:Treasury

### 5.3 Status of On-Lent Loans including Arrears

As at June 2012, the total on-lent loan portfolio amounted to Ksh 143,851.1 million, out of which outstanding loans, arrears and accrued interest stood at Ksh 87,976.9 million, Ksh 16,257.6 million and Ksh 39,616.6 million, respectively, as shown in Table 5.2. Notably, over 90 percent of the loan portfolio is non-performing.

Table 5.2: Status of On-Lent Loans Portfolio (Ksh Million) as at June 2012

| Ministry/Sector | Outstanding loans | Principal Arrears | Accrued interest | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy | 29,630.0 | 3,354.7 | 4,192.8 | 37,177.5 |
| Water \& Irrigation | 22,326.7 | 140.2 | 1,557.5 | 24,024.4 |
| Local Government | 7,634.9 | 4,843.8 | 10,715.6 | 23,194.3 |
| Transport | 6,705.2 | 2,405.7 | 13,003.6 | 22,114.5 |
| Agriculture | 5,675.2 | 3,731.3 | 6,369.7 | 15,776.2 |
| Education | 11,107.6 | 62.5 | 19.9 | 11,190.0 |
| Environment \& Natural Resources | 2,486.6 | 1,262.4 | 3,149.5 | 6,898.5 |
| Finance | 1,370.3 | 104.2 | - | 1,474.5 |
| Tourism \& Information | 188.0 | 140.0 | 574.5 | 902.5 |
| Trade | 764.7 | 128.8 | 3.9 | 897.4 |
| Lands \& Settlement | 87.7 | 84.0 | 29.6 | 201.3 |
| Total | 87,976.9 | 16,257.6 | 39,616.6 | 143,851.1 |

Source:Treasury

### 5.4 Receipts From On-Lent Loans

The total receipts by GoK from on-lent loans amounted to Ksh 2,951.5 million during 2011/12, out of which Ksh 1,812.8 million was principal and Ksh 1,138.7 million was interest as shown in Table 5.3.

## Table 5.3 Principal and Loan Interest Receipts, 2011/12, Ksh Million

| Organization | Principal Receipts | Interest Receipts | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| KenGen | 940.5 | 471.2 | $1,411.7$ |
| Kenya Power and Lighting Company | 505.1 | 184.2 | 689.3 |
| Agro Chemical and Food Company Ltd | 0.0 | 150.0 | 150.0 |
| Uchumi Supermarkets | 81.4 | 38.6 | 120.0 |
| Equity Bank | 72.3 | 24.9 | 97.2 |
| K -Rep Bank | 72.5 | 19.2 | 91.7 |
| Kenya Civil Aviation Authority | 0.0 | 91.6 | 91.6 |
| Nyeri Water and Sewerage | 50.4 | 26.1 | 76.5 |
| Cooperative Bank of Kenya | 35.7 | 23.5 | 59.2 |
| Kenya Airports Authority | 0.0 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| Industrial \& Commercial Devt Corportn | 0.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| New Kenya Cooperative Creameries | 33.0 | 1.6 | 34.6 |
| Athi Water Services Board | 20.0 | 9.9 | 29.9 |
| Agricultural Settlement Fund | 1.9 | 1.2 | 3.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 8 1 2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 5 1 . 5}$ |
| Source:Treasury |  |  | 10 |

Source:Treasury

### 5.5 Contingent Liabilities

Possible materialization of potentially large and unreported contingent liabilities has been identified as posing additional risk to the sustainability of public debt. Borrowing by state-owned entities with or without Government guarantees constitutes potential contingent liability to the Government. In the event of default on on-lent loans and guaranteed or nonguaranteed loans, Central Government will bear the cost of the debt.

With the implementation of a devolved system of Government, the extent of contingent liabilities is expected to increase as liabilities of County Governments are taken into account.

## 6. DISPUTED EXTERNAL COMMERCIAL DEBT

### 6.1 Background

Kenya's external commercial debt estimated at Kshs $14,811.51$ million or 0.9 percent of total public debt at end June 2012 is in arrears. In August 2004, the Government suspended payments pending verification of the amount due on each of the eleven (11) suppliers' credit contracts which constitute external commercial debt. Soon after the suspension, the Controller and Auditor General undertook a special audit on eighteen (18) suppliers' credit contracts as follows:

- Eleven (11) contracts that are in dispute;
- Three (3) contracts though fully paid by the Government, relate to projects in dispute as under (1) above; and
- Four (4) contracts in which the creditors voluntarily returned all funds paid by the Government.

In four (4) of the eleven (11) suppliers' credit contracts in dispute, the creditors sought legal re-dress in courts or arbitrations in UK, The Hague and Switzerland for breach of contract. In response, the Government engaged reputable and ex
perienced international law firms to represent its interest.

In February 2005, the Ethics and An-ti-Corruption Commission (EACC) began investigations on these contracts. Although some progress has been made, the pace has been slowed down by court orders that have prohibited EACC from carrying out investigations in some areas.

### 6.2 Audits on External Commercial Debts

The Controller and Auditor General's Special Audit Report was tabled in Parliament in May 2006. The findings indicated that procurement laws and regulations were violated in the contracting process, that the projects were overpriced and in most cases, no credit was extended by the creditors. The Report recommended that professional valuation of works, goods and services be carried out to determine value for money.

In January 2007, the Ministry of Finance contracted PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) to conduct forensic audit and valuation. PwC submitted the forensic audit and valuation report on 31st July, 2007.

The PwC Report established that those contracts were procured in an irregular manner and that the pricing, financing, and other terms of the contract do not reflect terms obtained on arm's length commercial transactions.

Specifically, the PwC Report cited:
i. Significant overpricing
ii. Serious contraventions of Kenya public expenditure law
iii. Circumstantial evidence that these contracts were corruptly procured
iv. Financing charged in excess of the financing that was needed
v. Under-delivery of supplies provided under the contracts

As a precautionary measure, the Government sought to eliminate financial risk exposure on Promissory Notes issued under seven (7) contracts. The Minister for Finance, in consultation with the Attorney General, issued a Caveat Emptor in December 2007 informing all parties that the underlying contracts were fraudulent and hence the Promissory Notes were illegal and the Government would not honor them. The Caveat Emptor was circulated to banks worldwide through SWIFT and placed in the local dailies.

### 6.3 Government Position on Existing Commercial Debts

The Government is committed to resolving the dispute on the outstanding external commercial debts on the basis of fair value received by the Government on each contract as determined by independent valuers and based on legal advice. On the other hand, the Government will take legal measures to recover from the suppliers any payments over and above the fair value received. Two of the 11 projects in dispute, Project Nexus and Naval Vessel have been successfully resolved. Resolution of the remaining projects is ongoing and two Cabinet Memoranda are awaiting submission to the Cabinet to seek approval for their resolution. To achieve this, co-ordination by the arms of the Government involved, including, the State Law Office, Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission, Directorate of Public Prosecution and the Ministry of Finance will be enhanced.

### 6.4 Lessons Learnt from External Commercial Debt

Some key lessons have been learnt on this matter referred to by the media as the "Anglo Leasing" scandal. First, weak institutional arrange-
ment of public debt management undermined accountability and transparency in the contracting, disbursement and payment processing of external loans. To address this weakness, the Government has embarked on a comprehensive public debt management reform program to set up a fully functional Debt Management Office (DMO) at the Ministry of Finance responsible for all matters relating to public debt. Second, inadequate public financial management system weakens budget formulation and implementation. This partly explains payment of suppliers' credit contracts against under-deliveries.

Public Financial Management Reforms have been undertaken to strengthen the budget, accounting and control systems in Central Government. Third, weak legal framework on public procurement permitted single sourcing on account of national security concerns. Whereas restricted tendering system or single sourcing is not wrong per se, it can be subject to abuse in absence of robust framework of checks and balances. This matter has to a large extent been addressed in the Public Procurement and Disposal Act 2005.

Finally, lack of formal debt policy
and strategy that guides debt management operations created fiscal risk through high cost borrowing to finance non-priority expenditures. As a remedial measure, the Government has in the last three years been preparing a Medium Term Debt Strategy (MTDS) that indicates the sources, amount and loans of preferred borrowing to finance the budget deficit taking into account both cost, risk and debt sustainability. Specifically, external commercial borrowing will be contracted transparently from reputable financial institutions or through issuance of an International Sovereign Bond.

## 7. DEBT STRATEGY AND DEBT SUSTAINABILITY

### 7.1 Debt Strategy

Since 2009, the Ministry of Finance has been preparing the Medium Term Debt Management Strategy (MTDS) which outlines the government borrowing policy by evaluating the cost and risk characteristics of both the existing public debt portfolio and alterative borrowing mix. In addition, the strategy incorporates initiatives to develop a vibrant domestic debt market. A summary of the 2012 MTDS is presented in Box 1.

Box 1: 2012 MEDIUM TERM DEBT MANAGEMENT STRATEGY (MTDS)

## I. Alternative Borrowing Strategies

The 2012 MTDS evaluated the following five (5) possible debt financing strategies (See Table 1 below):

1. Strategy 1 (S1. 2011 MTDS) was the preferred strategy which assumes $30 \%$ external and $70 \%$ domestic financing.
2. Strategy 2 (S2. More external borrowing) assumes $35 \%$ external financing on semi-concessional and concessional credit terms and $65 \%$ domestic financing.
3. Strategy 3 (S3. Medium term domestic debt) similar to $S_{1}$ with more domestic issuance at 5 - and 10-year maturities in line with market needs and cost reduction.
4. Strategy 4 ( $\mathrm{S}_{4}$. More domestic borrowing) assumes $25 \%$ external (semi-concessional and concessional) and $75 \%$ domestic financing.
5. Strategy 5 ( $\mathrm{S}_{5}$. International Sovereign Bond (ISB)) assumes the Government would issue an international bond ${ }^{4}$ as an alternative to increasing domestic borrowing.
Table 1: Alternative Debt Management Strategies

| Envisaged | 2011 MTDS | More exter- <br> nal debt <br> New debt | Medium term <br> domestic debt | More domestic <br> debt | ISB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

After analyzing the strategies, S2 was identified as the optimal strategy which entails:

- $65 \%$ net domestic financing and $35 \%$ external financing;
- The domestic borrowing will be on medium term basis;
- External borrowing will comprise of $26 \%$ on concessional terms, $4 \%$ on semi concessional terms while $5 \%$ will be contracted as a syndicated bank loan;
- There will be no issuance of the Euro bond during the year as developments in the international markets are monitored.
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### 7.2 Implementing the 2012 MTDS

The Government implemented the 2012 MTDS domestic borrowing plan by issuing Treasury Bills and Treasury Bonds.

Due to the low uptake of government securities, domestic debt financing of the budget deficit was revised to 1.9 percent of GDP from the planned 3.8 percent of GDP. Government's decision to substitute domestic borrowing by way of a USD 600 million (Ksh 51.3 billion) syndicated external loan, combined with austerity measures resulted in net external financing rising to 3.0 percent of GDP from the planned 1.6 percent of GDP.

### 7.3 Debt Sustainability

- The Joint World Bank-IMF Debt Sustainability Analysis (DSA) published in November 2011 concludes that Kenya's debt is sustainable. The DSA compares debt burden indicators to indicative thresholds over a 20-year projection period. A debt-burden indicator that exceeds its indicative threshold suggests a risk of experiencing some form of debt distress. There are four ratings for the risk of external debt distress:
- Low risk - when all the debt burden indicators are well below the thresholds;
- Moderate risk - when debt burden indicators are below the thresholds in the baseline scenario, but stress tests indicate that thresholds could be breached if there are external shocks or abrupt changes in macroeconomic policies;
- High risk - when the baseline scenario and stress tests indicate a protracted breach of debt or debt-service thresholds, but the country does not currently face any repayment difficulties; or
- In debt distress - when the country is already having repayment difficulties.

Countries are classified into one of three policy performance categories (strong, medium, and poor) using the World Bank's Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA) index, which uses different indicative thresholds for debt burdens depending on the quality of a country's policies and institutions.

Kenya is rated a medium policy country and as such is subject to the following thresholds:-

## Table 7.1: Debt sustainability thresholds

| Classification | PV of Debt in percent of: |  | Debt Service in percent of: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GDP | Exports | Revenue | Exports | Revenue |
| Medium Policy Performer | 40 | 150 | 250 | 20 | 30 |

Source: World Bank-IMF Debt Sustainability Analysis- Kenya (November 2011)

### 7.3.1 External debt sustainability

Under the baseline scenario, Kenya's debt ratios listed in Table 7.2 suggest that external debt is within sustainable levels for a country rated as a medium performer. The debt sustainability indicators show that Kenya faces a low risk of external debt distress. This is attributed to the high level of concessionality of current external debt and the positive outlook in other macroeconomic indicators.

## Table 7.2: External debt sustainability

| Indicator (Threshold) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PV of debt-to-GDP ratio (40) | 15.8 | 17.7 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 15.0 | 7.7 |
| PV of debt-to-exports ratio (150) | 58.5 | 57.0 | 65.3 | 68.9 | 73.4 | 76.4 | 76.5 | 43.5 |
| Debt service-to-exports ratio (20) | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 3.9 |

Source: World Bank-IMF Debt Sustainability Analysis- Kenya (November 2011)

### 7.3.2 Public debt sustainability

Under the baseline scenario shown in Table 7.3, the PV of public debt-toGDP increases from 39.3 percent in 2011 to 40.3 percent in 2012 but gradually declines to 38.7 percent of GDP by 2014. In the long term, the PV of public debt-to-GDP is expected to decline to about 25 percent by 2031.

The PV of public debt-to-revenue remains well below the threshold of 250 percent throughout the period of analysis, given Kenya's relative strong revenue performance. The debt service-to-revenue ratio consistently remains below the 30 percent threshold. Overall, the results from the DSA
indicate that Kenya's public debt remain sustainable over the medium term.

## Table 7.3: Public debt sustainability

| Indicator (Threshold) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| PV of public sector debt <br> to GDP ratio (40) | 38.5 | 39.3 | 40.3 | 39.9 | 38.7 | 37.9 | 34.7 | 25.6 |
| PV of public sector debt- <br> to-revenue ratio (250) | 156.5 | 154.2 | 156.1 | 151.5 | 145.6 | 147.8 | 140.4 | 106.1 |
| Debt service-to-revenue <br> ratio (30) | 25.5 | 21.9 | 24.5 | 23.5 | 21.6 | 21.5 | 20.0 | 15.5 |

Source: World Bank-IMF Debt Sustainability Analysis- Kenya (November 2011)
In Table 7.4, a worst-case scenario, a "borrowing shock" scenario is presented which assumes a Government borrowing of 10 percent of GDP in FY2012/13. The results indicate that in the medium term, the debt burden indicators will breach one of the debt sustainability thresholds.

## Table 7.4: Sensitivity Analysis for Key Indicators of Public Debt

| Indicator | Threshold | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> ratios | Impact of 10\% of GDP in- <br> crease in borrowing in 2012 <br> on debt indicators in 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PV of Debt as \% of GDP | 40 | 39 | 48 |
| PV of Debt as \% of Revenue | 250 | 154 | 180 |
| Debt Service as \% of Revenue | 30 | 22 | 26 |

Source: World Bank-IMF Debt Sustainability Analysis- Kenya (November 2011)
In the financial year 2012/13, the Government plans to borrow, on a net basis, an amount equivalent to 5.0 percent of GDP to finance the budget. The net borrowing is expected to decline to 3.7 percent of GDP in FY2014/15.

The sustainability of Kenya's debt depends on macroeconomic performance and a prudent borrowing policy. Recourse to significant uptake of domestic debt financing could further increase the domestic interest rates, and put pressure on the debt sustainability position. In addition, non-concessional external financing carries an inherent foreign exchange risk, worsens the PV of debt and therefore increases the risk of debt distress. The borrowing envisaged under the 2012 MTDS will be undertaken with caution taking these factors into account.

## 8. REFORMS IN PUBLIC DEBT MANAGEMENT

### 8.1 Introduction

There have been continuous reforms at the Ministry of Finance to improve debt management in line with international best practice. The new Public Finance Management (PFM)
Act 2012 provides guidelines on how the Public Debt Management Office (PDMO) will be established and operationalised. These reforms

The Act (PFM) provides for a number of reforms with respect to management and control of public finance including public borrowing. are carried out jointly by the Treasury and the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK).

### 8.2 PFM Act 2012 and Public Borrowing

The PFM Act has consolidated all pre-existing laws on Public Financial Management. In the area of public debt management, the Act repealed the External Loans and Credit Act, the Internal Loans Act and the National Government Loans Guarantee Act which provided a legal framework for Government to raise loans outside Kenya, within Kenya and to guarantee loans extended to public entities, respectively.

The Act provides for a number of reforms with respect to management and control of public finance including public borrowing as follows:

- Article 11: Establishment of the National Treasury (NT) headed by the Cabinet Secretary to be in charge of responsible fiscal policy and managing public finances.
- Article 25 and 33: NT to prepare and submit to Cabinet the Budget Policy Statement (BPS) and Debt Management Strategy by 15th February each year. Thereafter, Parliament shall discuss the BPS within 2 weeks and NT will publish the same within 15 days.
- Article 28-29: the NT to establish a Treasury Single Account (TSA) and associated cash management framework.
- Article 47-65: Prescribe for the receipt and the use of grants and loans, guaranteeing loans, lending money, entering into derivative
transactions and the establishing of a fully-fledged Public Debt Management Office (PDMO) in the NT.


### 8.2.1 Next Steps in Reforming Public Debt Management

To further strengthen public debt management, the NT through DMD plans to implement the following reforms in accordance with the PFM Act:
i. To establish a fully-fledged PDMO, segregation of roles of various departments will be addressed.
ii. To build capacity and competence of the PDMO staff and equip them with new skills in areas such as, evaluation of risks in guarantees and GoK contingent liabilities.
iii. Contribute in the preparation of regulations for debt management operations both for the Central Government and the County Governments. In relation to County Government borrowing, prescribe the responsibility of the PDMO.
iv. Adopt the new reporting frameworks and requirements under the PFM Act.

### 8.3 Market Development Initiatives by Central Bank of Kenya

The Central Bank of Kenya in liaison with the Ministry of Finance and other stakeholders has continued to put in place a number of initiatives geared towards developing the domestic market for government securities. These include:
i. Continued implementation of the benchmark bonds program and the lengthening of maturity of domestic debt. To smoothen out the maturity profile of bonds, manage the refinancing risk and minimize the fragmentation problem at the secondary market, the Government and the Central Bank are considering strategies such as bond exchanges and switches under the program.
ii. Under the product diversification program and building on the success of the Infra-

The implementation of the Treasury Mobile Direct (TMD) project is on course to enable sale of Government Securities through mobile phones
structure Bonds (IFBs) program since 2009, the CBK issued an IFB with a Diaspora Component in FY 2011/12. However, the sale of this IFB was met with limited success mainly due to financial legislations in the target countries, mainly the USA and the UK. The Bank also successfully issued a number of bonds on tap sale basis during the period.
iii. There are plans to put in place a National Central Depository System (CDS) for all securities to facilitate efficient secondary market trading.
iv. The implementation of the Treasury Mobile Direct (TMD) project is on course to enable sale of Government Securities through mobile phones particularly the retail segment thereby deepening investor base.
v. To boost secondary market activity, establishment of Over the Counter (OTC) trading platform is on-going.
vi. The project on GSMM program as a first phase in the PD framework is continuous.

## 9. OUTLOOK FOR THE MEDIUM TERM

### 9.1 Public Debt Stock in the Medium Term

Overall, public debt is projected to rise in nominal terms to Ksh 1,882,900 million in June 2013 from Ksh 1,622,802 million in June 2012 and later increase to Ksh 2,414,600 million in June 2016 as shown in Table 9.1. However, as a proportion of GDP, public debt in nominal terms is projected to increase to 49.9 per cent in June 2013 from 49.5 per cent in June 2012 and then decline to 43.9 percent in June 2016.

As a per cent of GDP, external debt is projected to rise to 24.3 per cent in June 2013 from 23.3 per cent in June 2012 and then decline to 21.5 per cent in June 2016. On the contrary, as a proportion of GDP domestic debt shows a declining trend from 26.2 per cent in June 2012 to 25.6 per cent in June 2013 to 22.4 per cent in June 2016.

Table 9.1: Projected Public Debt Stock, Ksh Million

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| External Debt | 763,971 | 917,300 | 969,800 | $1,072,300$ | $1,181,800$ |
| $\%$ of GDP | $23.3 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| Domestic Debt | 858,830 | 965,600 | $1,053,600$ | $1,144,900$ | $1,232,800$ |
| $\%$ of GDP | $26.2 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |
| Total Public Debt | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 2 , 8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 8 2 , 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 2 3 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 1 7 , 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 4 , 6 0 0}$ |
| $\%$ of GDP | $49.5 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ |
| Memoranda Items |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal GDP | $3,281,200$ | $3,775,400$ | $4,268,500$ | $4,836,500$ | $5,502,400$ |
| Ordinary Revenue | 690,700 | 849,700 | 945,500 | $1,077,000$ | $1,233,200$ |

Source: Treasury

### 9.2 Debt Service in the Medium Term

Table 9.2 shows that although in nominal terms overall debt service is projected to increase by 44 per cent in the medium term, as a ratio to GDP, the debt burden indicators will be within sustainable levels. Total debt service is projected to increase from 15.5 per cent of revenue in 2012/13 to 20.2 per cent of revenue in 2013/14, mainly on account of redeeming the twoyear syndicated loan, and thereafter decline to $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ per cent of revenue in 2015/16.

Domestic interest is projected to decrease from Ksh 94,500 million in

2012/13 to Ksh 93,800 million and then increase to Ksh 99,300 million in 2013/14 and 2015/16, respectively. However, as a percentage of revenue, domestic interest will remain at an average of 9 percent in the medium term. On the other hand, interest on external debt is projected to increase from Ksh 11,300 million in 2012/13 to Ksh 11,400 million in 2013/14 and rise further to Ksh 16,100million in 2015/16, an average 1.2 percent of revenue over the period.

Annual principal repayment on external debt is projected to increase from Ksh 26,200 million in 2012/13 to Ksh 86,000 million in 2013/14 and, decline thereafter to Ksh 49,700 million in 2015/16 or an annual average of 4.6 percent of revenue. The sharp spike in external principal repayment in FY 2013/14 is due to a bullet repayment of the two-year syndicated loan of USD 600 million contracted in May 2012. The Government plans to issue a Eurobond to retire the loan.

Table 9.2: Central Government Projected Debt Service, Ksh million

|  | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic Interest | 82,339 | 94,500 | 93,800 | 91,400 | 99,300 |
| \% of Revenue | 11.9\% | 11.1\% | 9.9\% | 8.5\% | 8.1\% |
| External Interest | 7,351 | 11,300 | 11,400 | 13,800 | 16,100 |
| \% of Revenue | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% |
| Total Interest | 89,690 | 105,800 | 105,200 | 105,200 | 115,400 |
| \% of Revenue | 13.0\% | 12.5\% | 11.1\% | 9.8\% | 9.4\% |
| External Principal Repayments | 23,954 | 26,200 | 86,000 | 36,300 | 47,900 |
| \% of Revenue | 3.5\% | 3.1\% | 9.1\% | 3.4\% | 3.9\% |
| Total Debt Service | 113,644 | 132,000 | 191,200 | 141,500 | 163,300 |
| \% of Revenue | 16.5\% | 15.5\% | 20.2\% | 13.1\% | 13.2\% |
| Memoranda Item |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ordinary Revenue | 690,700 | 849,700 | 945,500 | 1,077,000 | 1,233,200 |
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## GLOSSARY

## - Bond Conversion

This is a strategy where the outstanding volume of the bond is redeemed or converted into another or a new one with longer maturity provided the holders of such a portion are agreeable.

## - Bond Reopening

This involves opening up or offering the same paper to the primary market on a date other than its original issue date with a view to increasing its outstanding amounts and or expanding the original offer amounts.

## - Bond Switching

This a strategy in which a portion of an existing bond is switched through an auction process into another existing bond preferably of longer maturity or a new one to build the volume of the benchmark issue.

## - Buy back

This is the sale of securities, usually Treasury Bonds, with an agreement from the seller to buy back the security at a later date.

## - Concessionality

A measure of the softness of a credit reflecting the benefit to
the borrower compared to a loan at market rate. Technically, it is calculated as the difference between the nominal value and the present value of the debt service as of the date of disbursement, calculated at a discount rate applicable to the currency of the transaction and expressed as a percentage of the nominal value.

## - Debt Relief

Agreements by creditors to lessen the debt burden of debtor countries by either rescheduling interest and principal payments falling due over a specified time period, sometimes on concessional basis, or by partially or fully cancelling debt service payments falling due in a specified period of time.

## - Debt Rescheduling

A form of debt re-organization in which payments of principal and/or interest previously due at a specified time are deferred for repayment on a new schedule following negotiations between the creditor and debtor.

## - Debt Service

The amount of funds necessary for or used in the payment of in-
terest or amortization charges of a debt.

## - Debt Sustainability

Sustainable debt is the level of debt which allows a debtor country to meet its current and future debt service obligations in full, without recourse to further debt relief or rescheduling, avoiding accumulation of arrears, while allowing an acceptable level of economic growth.

- Debt Sustainability Analysis

This was conducted in the context of medium-term scenarios. These scenarios are numerical evaluations that take account of expectations of the behaviour of economic variables and other factors to determine the conditions under which debt and other indicators would stabilize at reasonable levels, the major risks to the economy, and the need and scope for policy adjustment. In these analysis, macroeconomic uncertainties, such as the outlook for the current account, and policy uncertainties, such as for fiscal policy, tend to dominate the medium term outlook.

## - Disbursement

The actual international transfer of financial resources or of goods
or services by the lender to the borrower.

## - Domestic Borrowing

Government borrowing through issuance of Government securities and direct borrowing from the Central Bank.

## - Export Credit

Loans for the purpose of trade and which are not represented by a negotiable instrument. They may be extended by the official or the private sector. If extended by the private sector, they may be supported by official guarantees.

## - External Borrowing

Government borrowing from both official (Government or Government agencies) and private institutions domiciled outside the country.

## - Government Securities

Financial instruments used by the Government to raise funds from the primary market.

## - Grant Element

It measures the concessionality of a loan, in the form of the present value of an interest rate below the market rate over the life of a loan.

## - London Club

An international group of private commercial banks whose credits are not covered by government guarantees or insurance. The group is designed to provide a common approach to rescheduling of such debts owed by debtor countries.

## - Monetary Policy

The management of money supply by the Central Bank in an economy to achieve desired economic conditions such as the overall level of prices.

## - Present Value

The present value (PV) of debt is a measure that takes into account the degree of concessionality. It is defined as the sum of all future debt-service obligations (interest and principal) on existing debt, discounted at the appropriate market rate. Whenever the interest rate on a loan is lower than the market rate, the resulting PV of debt is smaller than its face value.

## - Official Development Assistance

Loans from official development agencies to countries received by the public sector, for promotion of economic develop-
ment and welfare as the main objective and, extended at concessional financial terms (with minimum grant element of 25 per cent). Loans and credits for military purposes are excluded in this definition.

## - Over the Counter

This is when debt securities and other financial instruments such as derivatives, are traded in a dealer network other than on a formal centralised exchange, such as, the Nairobi Securities Exchange.

## - Paris Club

The Paris Club is an ad-hoc gathering of creditor Governments, chaired by high ranking official of the FrenchTreasury, which meets for the purpose of rescheduling debts. The Paris Club is open to all creditor governments that are willing to adhere to its unwritten rules and practices and that have claims against a debtor country seeking rescheduling. Debtor countries must have strong adjustment programs supported by the upper credit tranche IMF arrangements before being considered for debt relief.

## - Primary Market

A market in which initial issue of
financial instruments is made.

## - Public Debt

Outstanding financial liabilities of the Government arising from past borrowing. It includes Government guaranteed debts to State Corporations and Local Authorities.

## - Public Domestic Debt

Part of the overall debt owed by the Government to creditors domiciled in the economy. The debt includes money owed to commercial banks, non-bank financial institutions and individuals.

## - Public External Debt

Part of the overall debt owed by the Government to creditors domiciled outside the economy. The debt includes money owed to private commercial banks, other governments, or international financial institutions such as the IMF and World Bank.

## - Secondary Market

A market for already issued financial instruments.

## - Suppliers' Credit

An arrangement under which a supplier or exporter agrees to allow the customer to defer payment under a sales contract.

## - Tap sale

Ongoing issuance in the same series.

## - Treasury Bills

Short term debt instruments currently with maturities of 91,182 and 364 days issued by the Treasury. In Kenya, this instrument is issued by the Treasury through the CBK.

## - Treasury Bonds

Medium to long-term term debt instruments issued by the Treasury. In Kenya, this instrument is issued by the Treasury through the CBK.

## - Yield Curve

Relationship between the interest rate and maturity of securities. A rising yield curve, that is, where interest rated for shortterm securities are lower than interest rates for long-term securities, is called normal. A falling yield curve is described as inverted.

## Appendix 1: Outstanding Government Securities by Tenor, Ksh Million

| Tenor | June 2003 | June $2004$ | June 2005 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | June $2007$ | June $2008$ | June 2009 | June $2010$ | June $2011$ | June $2012$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91-DAY | 48,186 | 29,500 | 42,761 | 37,632 | 22,017 | 17,980 | 23,523 | 23,663 | 69,135 | 24,323 |
| 182-DAY | 30,558 | 33,439 | 29,177 | 57,144 | 72,405 | 58,313 | 93,271 | 85,337 | 22,528 | 75,669 |
| 364-DAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,494 | 34,942 | 32,056 |
| 1-YEAR | 14,593 | 9,343 | 30,953 | 1,000 | 8,728 | 13,191 | 14,789 |  | 0.0 | 51,522 |
| 1.5YEAR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 2-YEAR | 44,214 | 28,237 | 24,686 | 39,738 | 37,652 | 31,747 | 45,206 | 46,577 | 73,012 | 86,462 |
| 3-YEAR | 40,973 | 44,817 | 27,898 | 31,225 | 31,174 | 26,663 | 12,798 | 1,781 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| 4-YEAR | 20,187 | 26,520 | 26,160 | 26,287 | 19,281 | 16,539 | 12,914 | 3,384 | 0.0 | 19,121 |
| 5-YEAR | 18,003 | 23,086 | 22,740 | 28,391 | 28,787 | 43,511 | 52,787 | 86,582 | 114,551 | 115,333 |
| 6-YEAR | 11,800 | 17,909 | 20,434 | 33,105 | 48,333 | 47,589 | 38,769 | 48,986 | 60,661 | 47,241 |
| 7-YEAR | 2,801 | 8,558 | 10,330 | 13,566 | 15,884 | 24,154 | 24,153 | 21,353 | 24,296 | 22,523 |
| 8-YEAR | 3,907 | 11,969 | 11,969 | 15,287 | 17,944 | 17,944 | 17,944 | 26,721 | 22,813 | 28,944 |
| 9-YEAR | 2,368 | 9,555 | 9,555 | 12,615 | 12,615 | 12,615 | 12,615 | 17,760 | 27,732 | 25,364 |
| 10-YEAR | 2,705 | 8,634 | 8,634 | 17,113 | 22,113 | 34,415 | 44,415 | 69,090 | 91,391 | 95,725 |
| 11-YEAR | - | - |  | - | 4,031 | 4,031 | 4,031 | 4,031 | 4,031 | 4,031 |
| 12-YEAR | - | - | - | - | 8,766 | 8,766 | 28,494 | 33,051 | 33,051 | 43,186 |
| 15-YEAR | - | - | - | - | 16,892 | 32,114 | 42,303 | 61,929 | 75,443 | 75,443 |
| 20-YEAR | - | - | - | - | - | 1,912 | 9,526 | 20,361 | 29,727 | 29,727 |
| 25-YEAR | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | 7,008 | 20,193 | 20,193 |
| 30-YEAR |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 18,760 | 22,136 |
| TOTAL | 240,295 | 251,567 | 265,297 | 313,103 | 366,622 | 391,484 | 477,538 | 607,109 | 722,266 | 818,998 |

Source: Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

## Appendix 2: Outstanding Treasury Bonds, June 2012

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Serial } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Issue No. | Tenure (Yrs) | Accepted at FV (Ksh M) | Yrs to Maturity | Accepted at cost (Ksh M) | Issue or Re-open date | Maturity date | Coupon rate (\%) | Redemption Yield (\%) | Price per Ksh 100.00 at YTM | Market <br> Outcome Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | FXD2/2003/9 | 9 | 7,187.35 | 0.04 | 8,162.19 | 28-Jul-03 | 16-Jul-12 | 9.500 | 7.408 | 113.559 | 7.789 |
| 2 | FXD2/2007/5 | 5 | 4,575.55 | 0.14 | 4,528.25 | 27-Aug-07 | 20-Aug-12 | 9.500 | 9.767 | 98.963 | 9.976 |
| 3 | FXD3/2007/5 | 5 | 9,188.60 | 0.22 | 8,996.55 | 24-Sep-07 | 17-Sep-12 | 9.500 | 10.043 | 95.106 | 10.189 |
| 4 | FXD3/2010/2 | 2 | 12,740.95 | 0.24 | 12,769.63 | 27-Sep-10 | 24-Sep-12 | 3.814 | 3.698 | 100.222 | 3.814 |
| 5 | FXD2/2006/6 | 6 | 5,767.45 | 0.39 | 5,695.01 | 27-Nov-06 | 19-Nov-12 | 11.500 | 11.804 | 98.719 | 11.881 |
| 6 | FXD4/2010/2 | 2 | 8,946.20 | 0.49 | 8,946.61 | 27-Dec-10 | 24-Dec-12 | 4.586 | 4.586 | 100.000 | 5.039 |
| 7 | FXD1/2011/1 | 1 | 11,102.30 | 0.49 | 11,103.11 | 26-Dec-11 | 24-Dec-12 | 21.408 | 21.408 | 100.000 | 23.215 |
| 8 | FXD1/2006/7 | 7 | 3,235.40 | 0.56 | 3,177.01 | 30-Jan-o6 | 21-Jan-13 | 13.250 | 13.661 | 98.184 | 14.139 |
| 9 | FXD1/2008/5 | 5 | 5,530.85 | 0.56 | 5,261.59 | 28-Jan-08 | 21-Jan-13 | 9.500 | 10.792 | 95.106 | 10.925 |
| 10 | FXD1/2012/1 | 1 | 14,938.45 | 0.58 | 14,938.60 | 30-Jan-12 | 28-Jan-13 | 21.082 | 21.082 | 100.000 | 22.041 |
| 11 | FXD1/2011/2 | 2 | 14,226.50 | 0.66 | 14,227.02 | 28-Feb-11 | 25-Feb-13 | 5.284 | 5.284 | 100.000 | 5.438 |
| 12 | FXD2/2012/1 | 1 | 10,511.60 | 0.66 | 10,511.75 | 27-Feb-12 | 25-Feb-13 | 18.030 | 18.030 | 100.000 | 19.189 |
| 13 | FXD3/2012/1 | 1 | 14,969.85 | 0.74 | 14,970.53 | 26-Mar-12 | 25-Mar-13 | 16.432 | 16.432 | 100.000 | 16.452 |
| 14 | FXD1/2007/6 | 6 | 5,948.00 | 0.81 | 6,001.26 | 30-Apr-07 | 22-Apr-13 | 11.500 | 11.288 | 100.906 | 11.533 |
| 15 | FXD2/2008/5 | 5 | 4,095.80 | 0.81 | 3,828.33 | 28-Apr-08 | 22-Apr-13 | 9.500 | 11.249 | 93.448 | 11.351 |
| 16 | FXD2/2011/2 | 2 | 1,438.90 | 0.81 | 1,438.97 | 25-Apr-11 | 22-Apr-13 | 7.439 | 7.439 | 100.000 | 8.477 |
| 16 | FXD2/2011/2(R1) | 2 | 7,034.50 | 0.81 | 6,684.49 | 30-May-11 | 22-Apr-13 | 7.439 | 10.387 | 95.009 | 10.411 |
| 16 | FXD2/2011/2(R2) | 2 | 7,322.00 | 0.81 | 6,836.12 | 27-Jun-11 | 22-Apr-13 | 7.439 | 12.442 | 92.017 | 12.590 |
| 16 | FXD2/2011/2(R3) | 2 | 3,995.95 | 0.81 | 3,674.50 | 25-Jul-11 | 22-Apr-13 | 7.439 | 12.684 | 91.964 | 13.468 |
| 17 | FXD1/2003/10 | 10 | 2,704.80 | 0.95 | 3,096.54 | 23-Jun-03 | 10-Jun-13 | 13.250 | 10.826 | 114.589 | 11.799 |
| 18 | FXD2/2003/10 | 10 | 5,929.00 | 1.12 | 6,352.87 | 25-Aug-03 | 12-Aug-13 | 8.500 | 7.474 | 107.137 | 7.677 |
| 19 | FXD3/2008/5 | 5 | 4,350.80 | 1.14 | 4,127.50 | 25-Aug-08 | 19-Aug-13 | 9.500 | 10.860 | 94.857 | 10.861 |
| 19 | FXD3/2008/5(R1) | 5 | 10,458.35 | 1.14 | 10,007.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 24-A u g- \\ & 09 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 19-Aug-13 | 9.500 | 10.858 | 95.687 | 10.919 |
| 20 | FXD3/2011/2 | 2 | 677.55 | 1.24 | 638.52 | 26-Sep-11 | 23-Sep-13 | 10.500 | 13.897 | 94.240 | 16.026 |
| 20 | FXD3/2011/2(R1) | 2 | 233.15 | 1.24 | 213.43 | 31-Oct-11 | 23-Sep-13 | 10.500 | 16.526 | 90.456 | 20.364 |
| 21 | FXD4/2008/5 | 5 | 4,416.45 | 1.31 | 4,080.04 | 27-Oct-o8 | 21-Oct-13 | 9.500 | 11.549 | 92.378 | 11.678 |
| 21 | FXD4/2008/5(R1) | 5 | 5,591.05 | 1.31 | 5,328.40 | 27-Apr-09 | 21-Oct-13 | 9.500 | 10.849 | 95.295 | 11.165 |
| 22 | FXD4/2011/2 | 2 | 9,972.75 | 1.41 | 9,980.05 | 28-Nov-11 | 25-Nov-13 | 22.844 | 22.844 | 100.000 | 23.522 |
| 22 | FXD4/2011/2(TAP) | 2 | 13,455.50 | 1.41 | 13,455.50 | 28-Nov-11 | 25-Nov-13 | 22.844 | 22.844 | 100.000 | 0.000 |
| 23 | FXD2/2006/7 | 7 | 2,317.95 | 1.47 | 2,261.63 | 25-Dec-06 | 16-Dec-13 | 12.000 | 12.533 | 97.563 | 12.832 |
| 24 | FXD1/2006/8 | 8 | 3,318.80 | 1.64 | 3,198.71 | 27-Feb-06 | 17-Feb-14 | 13.250 | 14.012 | 96.402 | 14.241 |
| 25 | FXD1/2012/2 | 2 | 6,418.05 | 1.83 | 6,418.09 | 30-Apr-12 | 28-Apr-14 | 13.826 | 13.826 | 100.000 | 15.183 |
| 26 | FXD1/2007/7 | 7 | 8,269.85 | 2.06 | 7,999.98 | 30-Jul-07 | 21-Jul-14 | 9.750 | 10.421 | 96.723 | 10.521 |
| 27 | FXD1/2009/5 | 5 | 3,452.80 | 2.22 | 3,244.27 | 21-Sep-o9 | 15-Sep-14 | 9.500 | 11.108 | 93.955 | 11.376 |
| 27 | FXD1/2009/5(R1) | 5 | 9,786.30 | 2.22 | 10,091.01 | 1-Feb-10 | 15-Sep-14 | 9.500 | 9.592 | 99.640 | 9.771 |
| 28 | FXD1/2007/8 | 8 | 2,656.90 | 2.64 | 2,630.10 | 26-Feb-07 | 16-Feb-15 | 12.750 | 12.955 | 98.997 | 13.250 |
| 29 | FXD1/2006/9 | 9 | 3,060.25 | 2.79 | 3,045.05 | 24-Apr-06 | 13-Apr-15 | 13.500 | 13.599 | 99.495 | 13.729 |
| 30 | FXD1/2010/5 | 5 | 11,924.85 | 2.89 | 11,985.76 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text {-May- } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 18-May-15 | 6.951 | 6.829 | 100.510 | 6.951 |
| 31 | FXD2/2010/5 | 5 | 11,968.75 | 3.41 | 11,970.15 | 29-Nov-10 | 23-Nov-15 | 6.671 | 6.671 | 100.000 | 7.078 |
| 31 | FXD2/2010/5(R1) | 5 | 1,723.40 | 3.41 | 1,399.84 | 27-Jun-11 | 23-Nov-15 | 6.671 | 12.529 | 80.546 | 13.884 |
| 31 | FXD2/2010/5(R2) | 5 | 1,280.95 | 3.41 | 991.21 | 29-Aug-11 | 23-Nov-15 | 6.671 | 13.887 | 77.378 | 16.473 |
| 32 | FXD1/2011/5 | 5 | 10,810.20 | 3.58 | 10,810.80 | 31-Jan-11 | 25-Jan-16 | 7.636 | 7.636 | 100.000 | 7.746 |
| 32 | FXD1/2011/5(R1) | 5 | 11,272.90 | 3.58 | 11,023.16 | 28-Mar-11 | 25-Jan-16 | 7.636 | 8.501 | 96.605 | 8.591 |
| 33 | FXD1/2006/10 | 10 | 3,451.05 | 3.72 | 3,344.97 | 27-Mar-06 | 14-Mar-16 | 14.000 | 14.595 | 96.920 | 14.812 |
| 34 | FXD2/2006/10 | 10 | 5,028.10 | 3.89 | 5,088.33 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29-May- } \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | 16-May-16 | 14.000 | 13.778 | 101.186 | 13.930 |
| 35 | FXD1/2012/5 | 5 | 4,905.55 | 4.91 | 4,907.43 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28-May- } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 22-May-17 | 11.855 | 11.855 | 100.000 | 12.624 |


| Serial No. | Issue No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tenure } \\ & \text { (Yrs) } \end{aligned}$ | Accepted at FV (Ksh M) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Yrs to } \\ \text { Maturity } \end{array}$ | Accepted at cost (Ksh M) | Issue or Re-open date | Maturity date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coupon } \\ & \text { rate (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Redemption Yield (\%) | Price per Ksh 100.00 at YTM | Market Outcome Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | FXD1/2006/11 | 11 | 4,031.40 | 5.22 | 3,909.72 | 25-Sep-o6 | 11-Sep-17 | 13.750 | 14.308 |  | 14.473 |
| 37 | FXD1/2007/10 | 10 | 9,308.80 | 5.31 | 9,000.02 | 29-Oct-07 | 16-Oct-17 | 10.750 | 11.316 | 96.662 | 11.604 |
| 38 | FXD1/2008/10 | 10 | 2,992.75 | 5.64 | 2,901.99 | 25 -Feb-08 | 12-Feb-18 | 10.750 | 11.266 | 96.951 | 11.933 |
| 39 | FXD2/2008/10 | 10 | 882.00 | 6.06 | 847.39 | 28-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-18 | 10.750 | 11.420 | 96.066 | 12.490 |
| 39 | FXD2/2008/10(R1) | 10 | 12,622.70 | 6.06 | 11,889.72 | 27-Jul-og | 16-Jul-18 | 10.750 | 11.821 | 94.163 | 11.958 |
| 40 | FXD $1 / 2006 / 12$ | 12 | 3,900.95 | 6.14 | 3,823.67 | 28-Aug-06 | 13-Aug-18 | 14.000 | 14.355 | 97.996 | 14.582 |
| 41 | FXD3/2008/10 | 10 | 4,151.60 | 6.24 | 3,910.96 | 29-Sep-08 | 17-Sep-18 | 10.750 | 11.758 | 86.922 | 11.893 |
| 42 | FXD1/2009/10 | 10 | 4,966.85 | 6.81 | 4,688.23 | 27-Apr-09 | 15-Apr-19 | 10.750 | 11.723 | 94.357 | 12.215 |
| 43 | FXD1/2007/12 | 12 | 4,864.60 | 6.89 | 4,999.04 | 28-May- $07$ | 13-May-19 | 13.000 | 12.548 | 102.766 | 12.804 |
| 44 | FXD1/2010/10 | 10 | 12,052.60 | 7.81 | 12,178.30 | 26-Apr-10 | 13-Apr-20 | 8.790 | 8.633 | 101.038 | 8.790 |
| 44 | FXD1/2010/10(R1) | 10 | 7,341.55 | 7.81 | 5,894.84 | 30-May-11 | 13-Apr-20 | 8.790 | 12.531 | 80.242 | 12.621 |
| 45 | FXD2/2010/10 | 10 | 13,847.90 | 8.33 | 14,462.48 | 1-Nov-10 | 19-Oct-20 | 9.307 | 8.646 | 104.366 | 9.307 |
| 45 | FXD2/2010/10(R1) | 10 | 1,111.65 | 8.33 | 1,085.65 | 31-Jan-11 | 19-Oct-20 | 9.307 | 9.683 | 97.634 | 10.503 |
| 45 | FXD2/2010/10(R2) | 10 | 3,890.35 | 8.33 | 3,112.57 | 25-Jul-11 | 19-Oct-20 | 9.307 | 13.089 | 79.990 | 13.624 |
| 46 | FXD1/2007/15 | 15 | 3,654.60 | 9.72 | 3,568.80 | 26-Mar-07 | 7-Mar-22 | 14.500 | 14.896 | 97.650 | 15.246 |
| 47 | FXD2/2007/15 | 15 | 7,236.95 | 9.97 | 7,489.08 | 25-Jun-07 | 6-Jun-22 | 13.500 | 12.968 | 103.479 | 13.284 |
| 48 | FXD1/2012/10 | 10 | 443.15 | 9.99 | 443.29 | 25-Jun-12 | 13-Jun-22 | 12.705 | 12.705 | 100.000 | 15.820 |
| 49 | FXD3/2007/15 | 15 | 7,841.10 | 10.39 | 7,434.42 | 26-Nov-07 | 7-Nov-22 | 12.500 | 13.313 | 94.777 | 13.350 |
| 49 | FXD3/2007/15(R1) | 15 | 10,189.10 | 10.39 | 9,547.61 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26-May- } \\ & \text { og } \end{aligned}$ | 7-Nov-22 | 12.500 | 13.530 | 93.687 | 13.737 |
| 50 | FXD1/2008/15 | 15 | 7,380.90 | 10.74 | 6,998.56 | 31-Mar-08 | 13-Mar-23 | 12.500 | 13.310 | 94.795 | 13.697 |
| 51 | FXD1/2009/15 | 15 | 9,420.45 | 12.31 | 8,704.40 | 26-Oct-og | 7-Oct-24 | 12.500 | 13.709 | 92.388 | 13.812 |
| 52 | FXD1/2010/15 | 15 | 10,206.45 | 12.74 | 10,419.79 | 29-Mar-10 | 10-Mar-25 | 10.250 | 9.980 | 102.078 | 10.133 |
| 53 | FXD2/2010/15 | 15 | 7,329.35 | 13.49 | 6,316.19 | 27-Dec-10 | 8-Dec-25 | 9.000 | 10.923 | 85.966 | 11.978 |
| 53 | FXD2/2010/15(R1) | 15 | 6,183.75 | 13.49 | 4,782.54 | 25-Apr-11 | 8-Dec-25 | 9.000 | 12.388 | 77.308 | 12.848 |
| 54 | FXD1/2008/20 | 20 | 1,912.25 | 15.99 | 1,791.77 | 30-Jun-08 | 5-Jun-28 | 13.750 | 14.741 | 93.668 | 16.913 |
| 54 | FXD1/2008/20(R1) | 20 | 7,613.90 | 15.99 | 7,197.88 | 29-Jun-09 | 5-Jun-28 | 13.750 | 14.614 | 94.493 | 14.926 |
| 54 | FXD1/2008/20(R2) | 20 | 10,834.80 | 15.99 | 10,878.06 | 28-Dec-og | 5-Jun-28 | 13.750 | 13.691 | 100.394 | 13.909 |
| 55 | FXD1/2011/20 | 20 | 8,138.50 | 18.91 | 5,984.55 | 30-May-11 | 5-May-31 | 10.000 | 13.974 | 73.470 | 13.993 |
| 55 | FXD1/2011/20(R1) | 20 | 1,227.30 | 18.91 | 870.32 | 27-Jun-11 | 5-May-31 | 10.000 | 14.822 | 69.328 | 16.965 |
| 56 | FXD $1 / 2010 / 25$ | 25 | 7,008.15 | 22.99 | 7,497.64 | 28-Jun-10 | 28-May-35 | 11.250 | 10.438 | 106.981 | 10.787 |
| 56 | FXD1/2010/25(R1) | 25 | 13,184.35 | 22.99 | 15,029.47 | 26-Jul-10 | 28-May-35 | 11.250 | 9.839 | 113.880 | 9.937 |
| 57 | SDB1/2011/30 | 30 | 8,718.10 | 28.66 | 8,097.58 | 28-Feb-11 | 21-Jan-41 | 12.000 | 12.959 | 92.771 | 14.145 |
| 57 | SDB1/2011/30(R1) | 30 | 10,041.55 | 28.66 | 9,033.18 | 28-Mar-11 | 21-Jan-41 | 12.000 | 13.520 | 88.956 | 13.832 |
| 57 | SDB1/2011/30(R2) | 30 | 3,376.80 | 28.66 | 2,481.80 | 29-Aug-11 | 21-Jan-41 | 12.000 | 16.397 | 73.441 | 18.815 |
|  | Total |  | 540,099.45 |  | 526,713.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Infrastructure Bonds

| 1 | IFB1/2010/8 | 6 | 8,776.47 | 3.66 | 8,850.65 | 1-Mar-10 | 22-Feb-16 | 9.750 | 9.579 | 100.498 | 9.860 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IFB1/2010/8 | 8 | 7,131.58 | 5.66 | 7,191.86 | 1-Mar-10 | 19-Feb-18 | 9.750 | 9.579 | 100.498 | 9.860 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 15,908.05 |  | 16,042.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | IFB 2/2010/9 | 6 | 14,200.00 | 4.16 | 13,163.18 | 30-Aug-10 | 22-Aug-16 | 6.000 | 7.293 | 92.916 | 7.737 |
|  | IFB 2/2010/9 | 7 | 8,700.00 | 5.16 | 8,114.92 | 30-Aug-10 | 21-Aug-17 | 6.000 | 7.293 | 92.916 | 7.737 |
|  | IFB 2/2010/9 | 9 | 9,971.55 | 7.16 | 9,306.92 | 30-Aug-10 | 19-Aug-19 | 6.000 | 7.293 | 92.916 | 7.737 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 32,871.55 |  | 30,585.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | IFB1/2009/12 | 6 | 8,482.55 | 2.64 | 7,987.60 | 23-Feb-09 | 16-Feb-15 | 12.500 | 13.505 | 94.109 | 13.883 |
|  | IFB1/2009/12 | 8 | 4,497.70 | 4.64 | 4,235.29 | 23-Feb-09 | 13-Feb-17 | 12.500 | 13.505 | 94.109 | 13.883 |
|  | \|FB1/2009/12 | 12 | 6,746.60 | 8.64 | 6,352.93 | 23-Feb-09 | 8-Feb-21 | 12.500 | 13.505 | 94.109 | 13.883 |
| Subtotal |  |  | 19,726.85 |  | 18,575.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | IFB2/2009/12 | 6 | 9,193.70 | 3.43 | 8,951.44 | 7-Dec-09 | 30-Nov-15 | 12.000 | 12.537 | 97.352 | 13.182 |
|  | IFB2/2009/12 | 9 | 5,145.00 | 6.43 | 4,746.05 | 7-Dec-og | 26-Nov-18 | 12.000 | 12.537 | 97.352 | 13.182 |


| Serial <br> No. | Issue No. | Tenure <br> (Yrs) | Accepted at <br> FV (Ksh M) | Yrs to <br> Maturity | Accepted at <br> cost (Ksh M) | Issue or <br> Re-open date | Maturity date | Coupon <br> rate (\%) | Redemption <br> Yield (\%) | Price <br> per Ksh <br> 100.00 <br> at YTM |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market <br> Outcome <br> Yield |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IFB2/2009/12 | 12 | $4,558.95$ | 9.43 | $4,702.18$ | 7 -Dec-09 | $22-$ Nov-21 | 12.000 | 12.537 | 97.352 |
| 13.182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | $18,897.65$ |  | $18,399.67$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Diaspora Bond Tap \& Amortization

| 5 | IFB1/2011/12 | 12 | 6,204.46 | 3.26 | 5,103.62 | 3-Oct-11 | 28-Sep-15 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 82.082 | 17.173 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 1) } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 144.70 | 3.26 | 120.62 | 7-Nov-11 | 28-Sep-15 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 83.353 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 2) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 109.03 | 3.26 | 92.01 | 5-Dec-11 | 28-Sep-15 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 84.384 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ \text { (Tap 3) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12 | 716.00 | 3.26 | 611.66 | 2-Jan-12 | 28-Sep-15 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 85.428 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & (\text { Tap 4) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 2,566.91 | 3.26 | 2,226.82 | 6-Feb-12 | 28-Sep-15 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 86.751 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 5) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 9,380.07 | 3.26 | 8,212.72 | 27-Feb-12 | 28-Sep-15 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 87.555 | 16.640 |
| First Tranche maturing 28 Sept 2015 |  |  | 19,121.18 |  | 16,367.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IFB1/2011/12 | 12 | 4,604.85 | 7.26 | 3,787.82 | 3-Oct-11 | 23-Sep-19 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 82.082 | 17.173 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 1) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 107.40 | 7.26 | 89.52 | 7-Nov-11 | 23-Sep-19 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 83.353 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ (\text { Tap 2) } \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12 | 80.92 | 7.26 | 68.29 | 5-Dec-11 | 23-Sep-19 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 84.384 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ \text { (Tap 3) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 531.40 | 7.26 | 453.97 | 2-Jan-12 | 23-Sep-19 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 85.428 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \left(\text { Tap 4) }^{2}\right. \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 1,905.11 | 7.26 | 1,652.70 | 6-Feb-12 | 23-Sep-19 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 86.751 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 5) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 6,961.72 | 7.26 | 6,095.34 | 27-Feb-12 | 23-Sep-19 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 87.555 | 16.640 |
| Second Tranche maturing 23 Sept 2019 |  |  | 14,191.40 |  | 12,147.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IFB1/2011/12 | 12 | 3,288.54 | 11.26 | 2,705.07 | 3-Oct-11 | 18-Sep-23 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 82.082 | 17.173 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 1) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 76.70 | 11.26 | 63.93 | 7-Nov-11 | 18-Sep-23 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 83.353 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 2) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 57.79 | 11.26 | 48.77 | 5-Dec-11 | 18-Sep-23 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 84.384 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 3) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 379.50 | 11.26 | 324.20 | 2-Jan-12 | 18-Sep-23 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 85.428 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 4) } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 1,360.53 | 11.26 | 1,180.28 | 6-Feb-12 | 18-Sep-23 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 86.751 | 16.640 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFB1/2011/12 } \\ & \text { (Tap 5) } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 4,971.71 | 11.26 | 4,352.98 | 27-Feb-12 | 18-Sep-23 | 12.000 | 16.640 | 87.555 | 16.640 |
| Final Tranche maturing 18 Sept 2023 |  |  | 10,134.77 |  | 8,675.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Diaspora Bond |  |  | 43,447.35 |  | 37,190.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Infrastructure Bonds |  |  | 130,851.45 |  | 120,793.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Outstanding Special Bonds

| 1 | SFX $/ 2007 / 6$ | 6 | $5,000.00$ | 0.90 | $5,000.00$ | 1 -Jun-07 | 24 -May-13 | 11.500 | NA | 100.000 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | SFX1/2007/10 | 10 | $5,000.00$ | 4.90 | $5,000.00$ | 1-Jun-07 | $19-$ May-17 | 13.000 | NA | 100.000 |
| 3 | SFX1/2007/15 | 15 | $6,000.00$ | 9.90 | $6,000.00$ | 1 -Jun-07 | $13-$ May-22 | 14.500 | NA | 100.000 |
| Subtotal |  | $16,000.00$ |  | $16,000.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | $686,950.90$ |  | $663,507.21$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: Central Bank of Kenya

R1 , First Reopening
R2 , Second Reopening
$R_{3}$, Third Reopening

## Appendix 3: Treasury Bonds Issues, July 2011 - June 2012, Order by Issue Date

| Issue no | Tenure (Years) | Amount at face (Ksh Million) | Amount at cost (Ksh Million) | Issue date | Maturity date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FXD 2/2011/2* | 2 | 3,995,950,000.00 | 3,674,497,697.50 | 25/07/2011 | 22/04/2013 |
| FXD 2/2011/10* | 10 | 3,890,350,000.00 | 3,112,570,889.00 | 25/07/2011 | 19/10/2020 |
| FXD 2/2010/5* | 5 | 1,280,950,000.00 | 991,206,774.50 | 29/08/2011 | 23/11/2015 |
| SBD 1/2011/30* | 30 | 3,376,800,000.00 | 2,481,796,760.00 | 29/08/2011 | 21/01/2041 |
| FXD 3/2011/2 | 2 | 677,550,000.00 | 638,522,956.00 | 26/og/2011 | 23/09/2013 |
| IFB 1/2011/1 | 4 | 19,121,178,735.00 | 16,367,446,920.79 | 03/10/2011 | 29/09/2015 |
| IFB 1/2011/1 | 8 | 14,191,404,000.67 | 12,147,630,582.33 | 03/10/2011 | 23/09/2019 |
| IFB 1/2011/12 | 12 | 10,134,769,198.64 | 8,675,213,159.88 | 03/10/2011 | 18/09/2023 |
| FXD 3/2011/2* | 2 | 233,150,000.00 | 213,428,156.50 | 31/10/2011 | 23/09/2013 |
| FXD 4/2011/2 | 2 | 13,455,500,000.00 | 13,455,500,000.00 | 28/11/2011 | 25/11/2013 |
| FXD 4/2011/2 | 2 | 9,972,750,000.00 | 9,980,045,791.50 | 28/11/2011 | 25/11/2013 |
| IFB 1/2011/12 | 1 | 11,102,300,000.00 | 11,103,107,684.50 | 26/12/2011 | 24/12/2012 |
| FXD 1/2012/2 | 1 | 14,938,450,000.00 | 14,938,598,127.50 | 30/01/2012 | 28/01/2013 |
| FXD 3/2012/1 | 1 | 10,511,600,000.00 | 10,511,752,560.00 | 27/2/2012 | 25/02/2013 |
| FXD 3/2012/1 | 1 | 14,969,850,000.00 | 14,970,532,229.00 | 26/03/2012 | 25/03/2013 |
| FXD 1/2012/2 | 2 | 6,418,050,000.00 | 6,418,087,500.00 | 30/04/2012 | 28/04/2014 |
| FXD 1/2012/5 | 5 | 4,905,550,000.00 | 4,907,425,153.00 | 28/05/2012 | 22/05/2017 |
| FXD 1/2012/10 | 10 | 443,150,000.00 | 443,286,861.00 | 25/062012 | 13/06/2022 |
| Total |  | 143,619,301,934.31 | 131,356,152,105.50 |  |  |

[^9]Appendix 4: Government Securities Market Yields for June 2012

| Tenor |  | Current <br> Market <br> Yield (\%) | Last issue average rate (\%) | Dated | Last issue coupon rate (\%) | Tenor Differential |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91-day | 0.25 | 10.835 | 10.835 | 09.07.12 | - | - |
| 182-day | 0.5 | 11.415 | 11.415 | 09.0712 | - | 0.580 |
| 364-day | 1 | 13.000 | 13.000 | 09.0712 | - | 1.585 |
| 1 year | 1 | 14.104 | 18.030 | 27.02 .12 | - | 1.104 |
| 2 year | 2 | 13.194 | 13.826 | 30.04.12 | 4.586 | -0.910 |
| 3 year | 3 | 13.000 | 9.696 | 25.09.06 | 8.250 | -0.194 |
| 4 year | 4 | 13.000 | 9.438 | 26.02 .07 | 11.000 | 0.000 |
| 5 year | 5 | 12.946 | 11.855 | 29.08 .11 | 6.671 | -0.055 |
| 6 year | 6 | 12.824 | 11.288 | 30.04.07 | 11.500 | -0.121 |
| 7 year | 7 | 12.617 | 10.325 | 26.07 .07 | 9.750 | -0.207 |
| 8 year | 8 | 12.400 | 9.579 | 01.03.10 | 9.750 | -0.217 |
| 9 year | 9 | 12.432 | 13.599 | 24.04.06 | 13.500 | 0.032 |
| 10 year | 10 | 12.511 | 12.705 | 25.09.12 | 9.307 | 0.079 |
| 11 year | 11 | 12.540 | 14.308 | 25.09.06 | 13.750 | 0.029 |
| 12 year | 12 | 12.588 | 16.640 | 07.10.11 | 12.000 | 0.048 |
| 13 year | 13 | 12.599 | - | - | - | 0.011 |
| 14 year | 14 | 12.617 | - | - | - | 0.018 |
| 15 year | 15 | 12.663 | 12.388 | 25.04.10 | 9.000 | 0.047 |
| 18 year | 20 | 12.699 | 13.691 | 28.12.09 | 13.750 | 0.035 |
| 20 year | 20 | 12.702 | 14.822 | 27.06.11 | 10.000 | 0.004 |
| 25 year | 25 | 12.775 | 9.839 | 26.07 .10 | 11.250 | 0.076 |
| 30 year | 30 | 12.775 | 16.397 | 29.08.11 | 12.000 | 0.000 |

[^10]
## Appendix 5(a): Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt by Creditor, Ksh Million

| CREDITOR | June | June | June | June | June | June | June | June |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  |

1. BILATERAL

| AUSTRIA | 2,468 | 2,437 | 3,031 | 3,252 | 2,217 | 1,814 | 2,018 | 1,318 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BELGIUM | 5,188 | 5,247 | 4,625 | 4,750 | 7,078 | 6,775 | 9,037 | 7,365 |
| CANADA | 1,297 | 1,267 | 1,470 | 1,400 | 1,585 | 1,609 | 1,676 | 1,481 |
| DENMARK | 2,365 | 2,392 | 2,144 | 2,336 | 2,354 | 2,291 | 2,775 | 2,077 |
| FINLAND | 134 | 160 | 118 | 120 | 129 | 119 | 132 | 105 |
| FRANCE | 18,106 | 18,643 | 18,886 | 22,903 | 28,103 | 28,173 | 40,347 | 36,709 |
| GERMANY | 12,941 | 13,910 | 13,479 | 15,764 | 16,441 | 16,235 | 26,670 | 24,879 |
| ITALY | 7,182 | 8,741 | 7,151 | 6,344 | 5,750 | 4,347 | 4,388 | 2,928 |
| JAPAN | 84,469 | 79,464 | 66,167 | 72,845 | 90,839 | 98,847 | 111,842 | 107,403 |
| NETHERLANDS | 2,208 | 2,752 | 2,367 | 2,318 | 2,140 | 1,877 | 3,012 | 2,926 |
| UK | 2,818 | 2,705 | 2,628 | 2,458 | 2,354 | 2,128 | 2,328 | 1,936 |
| USA | 6,057 | 5,842 | 5,206 | 4,863 | 5,669 | 5,729 | 5,901 | 5,136 |
| CHINA | 2,486 | 2,398 | 3,132 | 4,024 | 11,821 | 14,385 | 32,453 | 36,662 |
| OTHERS | 9,949 | 8,918 | 11,300 | 9,823 | 9,453 | 12,018 | 14,386 | 12,618 |
| TOTAL | 157,669 | 154,877 | 141,706 | 153,200 | 185,933 | 196,347 | 256,965 | 243,543 |

## 2. MULTILATERAL

| ADB/ADF | 23,560 | 25,837 | 23,630 | 30,134 | 32,651 | 41,000 | 52,645 | 70,863 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EEC/EIB | 8,468 | 13,335 | 10,248 | 11,235 | 11,063 | 10,498 | 12,497 | 10,934 |
| IBRD | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IDA/IFAD | 210,311 | 204,306 | 190,877 | 209,545 | 246,485 | 260,108 | 319,268 | 297,588 |
| IMF | 12,641 | 11,409 | 13,703 | 17,548 | 35,125 | 34,110 | 47,582 | 66,593 |
| OTHERS | 655 | 663 | 1,801 | 2,673 | 5,781 | 6,617 | 8,890 | 9,099 |
| TOTAL | 255,764 | 255,550 | 240,259 | 271,135 | 331,105 | 352,333 | 440,882 | 455,076 |
| 3.COMMERCIAL <br> BANKS | 1,776 | 1,274 | 574 | - | - | - | - | 50,540 |
| 4.EXPORT <br> CREDIT | 19,244 | 19,536 | 18,427 | 18,543 | 23,837 | 20,458 | 25,041 | 14,812 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 434,453 | 431,237 | $\mathbf{4 0 0 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 2 , 8 7 8}$ | 540,875 | 569,138 | $\mathbf{7 2 2 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3 , 9 7 2}$ |

## Appendix 5(b): Public and Publicly Guaranteed External Debt by Creditor, USD Million

| CREDITOR | June | June | June | June | June | June | June | June |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  |

1. BILATERAL

| AUSTRIA | 32.4 | 33.0 | 45.6 | 50.3 | 28.7 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 15.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BELGIUM | 68.0 | 71.0 | 69.6 | 73.4 | 91.7 | 82.7 | 100.6 | 87.4 |
| CANADA | 17.0 | 17.2 | 22.2 | 21.6 | 20.5 | 19.6 | 14.2 | 17.6 |
| DENMARK | 31.0 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 36.1 | 30.5 | 28.0 | 35.4 | 24.7 |
| FINLAND | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| FRANCE | 237.3 | 252.3 | 277.8 | 354.0 | 364.2 | 343.9 | 449.0 | 435.8 |
| GERMANY | 169.6 | 188.3 | 198.1 | 243.7 | 213.1 | 198.2 | 296.8 | 295.4 |
| ITALY | 94.1 | 118.3 | 107.6 | 98.1 | 74.5 | 53.1 | 48.8 | 34.8 |
| JAPAN | $1,109.5$ | $1,075.6$ | $1,006.0$ | $1,126.0$ | $1,177.3$ | $1,206.7$ | $1,244.6$ | $1,275.1$ |
| NETHERLANDS | 28.9 | 37.3 | 35.6 | 35.8 | 27.7 | 22.9 | 33.5 | 34.7 |
| UK | 36.9 | 36.6 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 30.5 | 26.0 | 25.9 | 23.0 |
| USA | 79.4 | 79.1 | 70.9 | 75.1 | 73.5 | 69.9 | 65.7 | 61.0 |
| CHINA | 32.1 | 32.5 | 46.9 | 62.2 | 153.2 | 175.6 | 361.1 | 466.8 |
| OTHERS | 130.9 | 120.7 | 117.7 | 151.9 | 122.7 | 146.7 | 160.08 | 150.3 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 , 0 6 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 6 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 7 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 6 8 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 0 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 9 6 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 5 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9} 923.4$ |

2. MULTILATERAL

| ADB/ADF | 310.0 | 349.7 | 353.1 | 465.8 | 423.2 | 500.5 | 585.8 | 811.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EEC/EIB | 111.0 | 180.5 | 150.4 | 173.7 | 143.4 | 128.2 | 139.1 | 129.8 |
| IBRD | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IDA/IFAD | 2,757.0 | 2,765.4 | 2,867.7 | 3,239.0 | 3,194.5 | 3,175.3 | 3,552.8 | 3,532.0 |
| IMF | 165.7 | 154.4 | 206.9 | 271.2 | 244.6 | 416.4 | 529.5 | 909.6 |
| OTHERS |  | 9.0 | 32.7 | 41.3 | 74.9 | 80.8 | 98.9 | 112.8 |
| TOTAL | 3,350.2 | 3,459.0 | 3,610.8 | 4,191.0 | 4,080.6 | 4,301.2 | 4,906.1 | 5,495.3 |
| 3.COMMERCIAL BANKS | 23.3 | 17.2 | 4.3 | - | - | - | - | 600 |
| 4.EXPORT <br> CREDIT | 252.3 | 264.4 | 271.0 | 286.6 | 286.6 | 249.7 | 278.7 | 175.8 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 5,694.8 | 5,837.0 | 5,957.6 | 6,845.7 | 6,777.0 | 6,947.8 | 8,044.3 | 9,194.5 |

Source:Treasury


[^0]:    Source: Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

[^1]:    Source:Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

[^2]:    1 Others consist of CBK Overdraft to GoK, cleared items awaiting transfer to PMG, commercial bank advances and Tax Reserve Certificates.

[^3]:    Source: Central Bank of Kenya

[^4]:    Source: Central Bank of Kenya

[^5]:    2 Excludes repurchase order (Repo) bills for monetary policy.

[^6]:    Source: Treasury and Central Bank of Kenya

[^7]:    4 Issued in U.S. Dollars, with 10-year maturity and bullet repayment, carrying a spread of 450 basis points above US Treasuries.

[^8]:    Source:Treasury

[^9]:    Source: Central Bank of Kenya
    *Reopened Bonds

[^10]:    Source: Central Bank of Kenya

